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ABSTRACT

This research is a study of a limestone lintel uncovered recently in Gisr El-Mudir cemetery, west of Unas' Pyramid at central Saqqara. The lintel is described, and its text is translated. Then a commentary will be provided, focusing on the deceased's personal name, titles, together with htp di nzwt and prt-hrw formulae. The deceased's name is reviewed in terms of pronunciation, meaning, date, and place of appearance. The title of the deceased is studied in terms of the date and place of presence and continuity. The four epithets of Anubis mentioned on the lintel are commented on. A new form of writing the name of the deceased, Seshemnefer, is attested on the lintel. The appearance of prt-hrw nt is presented. Based on several features, the end of the Old Kingdom is suggested as the date for this lintel. These include the location of the discovery, other finds in the same shaft of the discovery, the personal name of the deceased and the way it is written, his title, and the funerary offering formulae.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The lintel of Seshemnefer was discovered by the author in the Gisr el-Mudir cemetery, west of Unas’ Pyramid at central Saqqara, in 2009. It was found with other limestone blocks prepared for the same person in the fill of a shaft located in the middle, to the east of the site [FIGURE 1]. These other blocks are the right jamb of a doorway and three linked blocks, which could be the left jamb of a traditional false door, the right thickness or the left jamb of a doorway, or the left side part of the main façade beside the main doorway of the mastaba of Seshemnefer at central Saqqara.

II. DESCRIPTION

This limestone lintel could be part of an entrance or a niche. It is made of Turah limestone and measures 123 x 41 x 18 cm. It is broken into two pieces. It is registered under excavation number A.F. 749 and is now kept in Museum storeroom II at Saqqara [FIGURES 2-3].

1The three joined blocks are published by the author. SOLEIMAN 2018: 241–259.
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[FIGURE 2]: Inscribed lintel of Seshemnefer in the excavation site ©Taken by the researcher

[FIGURE 3]: Inscribed lintel of Seshemnefer in the museum storeroom II at Saqqara ©Taken by the researcher

The lintel is adorned with one sunken horizontal line of hieroglyphic inscriptions, starting from the right [FIGURE 4]. The first section of the inscription is lost:

[htp di nzwt] inpw tpy dw.f hnty sh-nfr imy-wt nb t3 dsr hwr nt ss s nzwt sšm-nfr

[An offering that the king and] god Anubis, who is upon his mountain, who is in front of the divine shrine, who is in the embalming tent, master of the sacred land, may they make an invocation offering for the scribe of the royal documents, Seshemnefer.

[FIGURE 4]: Line drawing of the lintel of Seshemnefer © Done by Mohamed Abd-Elbar
III. COMMENTARY

1. Tomb Owner’s Name

The name is written in this form ⲧⲧ ⲧⲧ. It could be pronounced sḥm-nfr Seshemnefer², meaning ‘the good leadership’ or sḥm(.i)-nfr(.w) Seshemineferou³. This last reading could mean ‘I guide well’. Males and females held this name⁴, not only males as it was thought⁵. However, it was more frequently used for males than for females.

It is one of the most popular and widely used personal names in the Old Kingdom. It was frequently found in the capital cemetery (Giza, Abusir, Saqqara, and Dahshur) and infrequently in the provincial cemeteries (Qasr es-Sayad)⁶. Most of the examples of this name were found in Giza and Saqqara.

The name is written in thirteen different forms. Twelve of them were collected by Scheele-Schweitzer⁷ and the last one is a new form shown on the lintel, which is the subject of this research. This new form has not been mentioned in any published tomb up to this moment.

The deceased’s name is registered on this lintel with the sign S in reverse. This tradition is also found in the following tombs:

A. Seshemnefer II G 5080 at Giza⁸, which is related to Neuserre’s reign⁹, possibly the second part of his reign¹⁰.
B. Seshemnefer IV LG 53 at Giza¹¹, which is related to Izezi’s¹², Unas¹³, Unas’ and Teti’s reigns¹⁴, or the Sixth Dynasty¹⁵.
C. Seshemnefer/Ifi at Giza¹⁶, which is dated to the Sixth Dynasty¹⁷, possibly late of the Dynasty¹⁸.
D. Ptahshepses at Abusir¹⁹, which is dated from Neuserre’s to Menkauhor’s reigns²⁰.

⁵ RANKE 1935: vol.1, 320, Nº.17.
¹⁰ KANAWATI 2002: 53.
¹³ BAER 1960: 293.
¹⁵ KLOTH 2002: 34.
¹⁹ VERNER 1986: PL.37.
E. Seshemnefer E 8 at Saqqara\textsuperscript{21}, which is dated to Izezi’s reign or later\textsuperscript{22}.

F. Akhethotep I D 64 at Saqqara\textsuperscript{23}, which is dated to the reign of Izezi\textsuperscript{24}, Unas\textsuperscript{25}, or late Izezi’s and early Unas’ reigns\textsuperscript{26}.

G. Idout at Saqqara\textsuperscript{27}, to which the name of Seshemnefer was added when the tomb was reused during Teti’s and early Pepy I’s reigns\textsuperscript{28}.

It is noticeable that this tradition of reverse S-sign in the name of Seshemnefer only existed in the capital cemeteries of Giza, Abusir, and Saqqara. It is related to the period from Neusererre’s reign to the late Sixth Dynasty. The name of the deceased on the lintel is inscribed with the biliteral sign \textsuperscript{30}s\textsc{sm}. This sign is a combination of the knife and the human walking legs\textsuperscript{29}. This shape has been found in the writing of the name Seshemnefer only once in the Old Kingdom. It is on this lintel, subject of this article. All the cases to date of Seshemnefer’s name are written with the knife-sharpener \textsuperscript{28}s\textsc{sm} except the one of the present research.

This sign \textsuperscript{30}s\textsc{sm} is also used to write the name \textsuperscript{31}s\textsc{smw} in Neferi’s tomb at Giza\textsuperscript{30}, which is dated from the late of Izezi’s reign to the middle of Unas’s reign\textsuperscript{31}, and to write the name \textsuperscript{30}s\textsc{smwi} in the rock inscriptions of Wadi Hilâl at El-Kab, which are dated to Pepy I’s reign\textsuperscript{32}.

This sign \textsuperscript{30}s\textsc{sm} is also shown in the writing of the name Neferseshemseshat on two obelisks found in Heliopolis, kept now in Cairo Museum JE 17001, 17002\textsuperscript{33}. These obelisks are dated to Pepy I’s reign or the following period\textsuperscript{34}. This sign is also shown in the writing of the name Neferseshempepy in the tomb of Neferseshempepy/Seneni at Dendera\textsuperscript{35}, which is dated to the Sixth Dynasty\textsuperscript{36}, or late Old Kingdom\textsuperscript{37}.

This sign \textsuperscript{30}s\textsc{sm} has been attested in the writing of the name of Seshemnefer only once in the Old Kingdom. It is used in writing the word \textsuperscript{30}s\textsc{sm} in some other individual names dated to the late of Izezi’s reign, Dynasty VIII. It is attested in Heliopolis, Giza, Saqqara, El-Kab, and Dendera.

\textsuperscript{21} MARIETTE 1889: 398.
\textsuperscript{22} PORTER & MOSS 1978: vol.3, 595.
\textsuperscript{23} DAVIES 1901: PLs.14, 34.
\textsuperscript{24} CHERPION 1989: 237.
\textsuperscript{25} BAER 1960: 287, N°.13; STRUDWICK 1985: 56.
\textsuperscript{26} KANAWATI 1977: 81–82, N°.7; HARPUR 1987: 272, N°.338.
\textsuperscript{27} MACRMALLAH 1935: PL.17.
\textsuperscript{29} GARDINER 1957: 515.
\textsuperscript{30} ABU BAKR 1953: FIG.39.
\textsuperscript{31} HARPUR 1987: 267.
\textsuperscript{32} SETHE 1911: 98; LEPISUS 1913: vol.2, 117.
\textsuperscript{33} DARESSY 1916: 211–212; KUENTZ 1932: 7–10, PL.3.
\textsuperscript{34} BAER 1960: 94; PORTER & MOSS 1968: vol.4, 62.
\textsuperscript{35} JAMES 1961: PL.36; FISCHER 1968: PLs.7, 16.
\textsuperscript{36} JAMES 1961: 38; PORTER & MOSS 1962: vol.5, 112.
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2. Tomb Owner’s Title

\[ z\textsuperscript{3} s \times nzw\textsuperscript{t} / z\textsuperscript{3} s (w) (nw) nzw\textsuperscript{t}, \] king’s decrees scribe\textsuperscript{38}. This title could be a separate one or part of other titles\textsuperscript{39}. It appeared at Giza from Chephren’s reign in Nefer’s tomb G 2110\textsuperscript{40}. It appeared in Saqqara from the late Fourth Dynasty in the tomb of Izi\textsuperscript{41}. It was attested through the Old Kingdom. It was more associated with the Fifth Dynasty. It was more common in the capital cemetery at Giza, Abusir, and Saqqara. It was found more often in Giza than Saqqara and was infrequently mentioned in Abusir\textsuperscript{42}. It was infrequently found in the provinces (Akhmim and Meir), and Wadi Hammamat\textsuperscript{43}.

Seshemnefer held additional scribal titles inscribed on other blocks found with this lintel. The first title is \[ z\textsuperscript{3} f b \text{ imy-r z\textsuperscript{3} s (w) overseer of the scribes of the judiciary\textsuperscript{44}. It was rarely attested in the Fourth Dynasty, increased in use during the Fifth, and was more common in the Sixth. It was mainly found in the Memphite necropolis and rarely in the provincial cemeteries and the quarries\textsuperscript{45}. The second title is \[ z\textsuperscript{3} s (w) nzw\textsuperscript{t} hft-hr scriber of the royal records in the presence (of the king)\textsuperscript{46}. It is attested in texts of the quarries from the Fourth Dynasty\textsuperscript{47} and in the tombs from Neuserre’s reign\textsuperscript{48} onwards\textsuperscript{49}. Many examples are dated to Pepy II’s reign\textsuperscript{50}.

These titles indicate that Seshemnefer participated in the functions of scribes. This combination of the three titles together in one tomb has not been attested in any tomb before the discovery of these blocks of Seshemnefer.

Although the word \[ \text{ is usually written with the book roll as a determinative, sometimes it is attested without it\textsuperscript{51}. It means documents, decrees, records, or letters\textsuperscript{52}. \]

is any document that bears the name of the king and was written with his knowledge and under his supervision. These royal documents could be mentioned in general, or sometimes they are connected more specifically with fields, workshops, houses

\textsuperscript{38} JONES 2000: 838, Nº.3057.
\textsuperscript{40} PORTER & MOSS 1974: vol.3, 72.
\textsuperscript{41} BORCHARDT 1937: 54–55, PL.14; PORTER & MOSS 1978: vol.3, 736, 739.
\textsuperscript{42} Piacentini 2002: 761.
\textsuperscript{44} JONES 2000: 803, Nº.2933.
\textsuperscript{46} JONES 2000: 839, Nº.3063.
\textsuperscript{47} COUYAT & MONTET 1912: 117.
\textsuperscript{49} PECK 1959: 13, PL.2.
\textsuperscript{50} SOLEIMAN 2020: 205.
\textsuperscript{51} STRUDWICK 1985: 203.
\textsuperscript{52} JONES 2000: 838, Nº.3057.
of gold, treasury, granary, or building works. Seshemnefer was the scribe of the royal documents, decrees, records, and letters in general.

3. Offering Formulae

Many Old Kingdom private tomb door lintels are decorated with raised or sunken relief carvings of hieroglyphic inscriptions, consisting of one to three horizontal lines. This lintel of Seshemnefer is decorated with a horizontal line of text, carved in sunken relief.

The lintels of the entrances and niches of the Old Kingdom tombs include different writings: the $htp\ di\ nzwt$-formula, the biography and Appeals to the Living and Warnings to Evildoer, the dedication texts, and the identification of the tomb’s owner and his family members by the titles and names. The $htp\ di\ nzwt$-formula and the title and the name of the deceased are inscribed on this lintel of Seshemnefer. The $htp\ di\ nzwt$-formula is the most common text in ancient Egypt.

The first part of the offering formula on Seshemnefer’s lintel is missing. The missing part is $htp\ di\ nzwt$. It was most probably written in the common way of the Old Kingdom. The $dl$ sign is not completely missing. Its remains prove that it is written $dl$, not $rdl$. Both words were used, and the first one was common.

God Anubis is mentioned in Seshemnefer’s offering formula. This means that the offerings are either presented by the ruler and Anubis to Seshemnefer, or offered to Anubis on behalf of the king.

Anubis was the main Egyptian funerary deity before the growing prominence of Osiris. He is mentioned in the offering text from the beginning of the Fourth Dynasty. Anubis is described with these four epithets $tpy\ dw.f$, $htny\ sh-nfr$, $imy-wt$, and $nb\ t3\ dsr$.

The first epithet, $tpy\ dw.f$, means «the one who is upon his mountain». This epithet refers to the desert cliffs of the necropolis where dogs and jackals (symbols of Anubis) would assemble and watch over the burials of the deceased. The expression $dw.f$ refers to the high areas of the western desert rather than any specific mountain.

The second epithet, $htny\ sh-nfr$, means «the foremost of the divine booth». Wilkinson suggests that this $sh-nfr$ could be the embalming tent, the sanctuary of the sarcophagus, or

54 HARPUR 1987: 47.
55 ROTH 1995: FIG.201.
58 MANUELJAN 2009: FIGS.5.24, 5.25.
60 LEPHROHON 2000: 569.
64 PETRIE 1892: PL.13.
the coffin of some royal burials in the New Kingdom. I concur with the first and second suggestions in Wilkinson’s study, but not the third because the $sh-n\text{tr}$ was used as a title for Anubis from the Old Kingdom, before the shrine was used to house the coffin and sarcophagus during the New Kingdom.

The third epithet, $imy-wl$, means «the one who exists in the mummification tent». This epithet refers to the presence of Anubis in the mummification tent, where embalming was done and his main role in the embalming process.

The fourth epithet, $nb\ t\ Dsr$, means «master of the sacred land». $t\ Dsr$ refers to the necropolis.

The lintel contains one wish. It is that the invocation offering comes forth for him consisting of certain offerings (bread, beer, and cake). This kind of offering formulae is well recognized and is used to ensure that the invocation offering goes out for the dead.

The $prt-hrw\ nt$ formula is written in the Old Kingdom with many different forms. Seshemnefer’s formula is attested in the form N°.7 of Lapp.

4. Dating

The lintel of Seshemnefer can be dated to the end of the Old Kingdom (late of the Sixth Dynasty to the Eighth Dynasty) for the following reasons:

A. The lintel was discovered in Gisr El-Mudir, which represents the western extension of Unas’ cemetery. This site comprises tombs from the late Fifth Dynasty (Feteka, an unknown person who owns $mastaba\ A/E$), the Sixth Dynasty (Ia-amaat, Senedjem, Shepseskafankh, and unknown persons who own tombs N°.A/N, A/F, A/J), and the end of the Pyramid Age (Wawai and Khai). In relation to this, the lintel was constructed and inscribed during a certain era included in that period from Unas’ reign to the end of the Pyramid Age.

B. This lintel was discovered among other finds in the same shaft N°.1110 in Gisr El-Mudir. These finds are a jamb and three joined blocks and are related to the Sixth Dynasty or the end of the Pyramid Age (late Sixth Dynasty to the Eighth Dynasty). The lintel of this study belongs to the same tomb owner as the aforementioned jamb and three joined blocks.

C. The name of the deceased, Seshemnefer, was in use during the Old Kingdom from Neferirkare’s reign in the tomb of Seshemnefer I G 4940 at Giza, but infrequently. It

---

66 Wilkinson 2003: 188.
68 Leprohon 2000: 570.
70 Lapp 1986: 93.
72 Soleiman & El-Batal 2015a: 61–64.
76 Soleiman 2018: 258–259.
increased in prevalence from Neuserre’s reign (Rewer I G5270\textsuperscript{78}, Seshemnefer II G 5080\textsuperscript{79} at Giza and others\textsuperscript{80}) and continued in use through the second part of the Old Kingdom until the end of this period (tomb of Seshemnefer/Ifi in the Central Field at Giza\textsuperscript{81}, which is dated to the Sixth Dynasty\textsuperscript{82}, possibly the end of the Dynasty\textsuperscript{83} and the libation basin of Seshemnefer from Giza\textsuperscript{84}, which is dated to the late Old Kingdom\textsuperscript{85}).

D. The writing the name of Seshemnefer with the sign S in reverse is related to the period from Neuserre’s reign to late Sixth Dynasty as above mentioned.

E. This sign , as shown on the lintel of Seshemnefer, is used in writing the sign sšm in some individual names dated to the late of Izezi’s reign to Dynasty VIII as above mentioned.

F. Seshemnefer’s lintel is inscribed with this title, zš ⩐ nzwt / zš ⩐ (nw) nzwt, which appears from mid-Fourth Dynasty at Giza and from the later part of the Fourth Dynasty at Saqqara, and continues through the end of the Old Kingdom\textsuperscript{86}.

G. On the lintel of Seshemnefer, the t-sign is written after the zw-sign and on top of the scribe’s symbol in the nzwt-word. This tradition is dated to the Sixth Dynasty\textsuperscript{87}.

H. Anubis is written, in the offering formula of Seshemnefer, in the shape of a recumbent jackal on a shrine. This writing appeared from Pepy I’s reign and continued in the subsequent period\textsuperscript{88}.

I. The writing of the town determinative in the epithet of Anubis ‘imy-wt in the offering formula of Seshemnefer may designate a period before the very end of the Sixth Dynasty\textsuperscript{89}.

J. The town determinative with the crossed roads  in the epithet of Anubis ‘imy-wt is the more usual form in the Old Kingdom, compared to the vertical and horizontal roads  , which is a newer variant\textsuperscript{90}.

K. The t-sign, on the lintel of Seshemnefer, is written with double granules beneath, which was related to the end of the Sixth Dynasty onwards\textsuperscript{91}.

L. The expression of prt-ḫrw in Seshemnefer’s text is written with bread, beer and cake. This way of writing the determinative with these three kinds of offerings only is

\textsuperscript{78} JUNKER 1938: FIG.43; PORTER & MOSS 1974: 158.
\textsuperscript{79} JUNKER 1938: FIG.34; PORTER & MOSS 1974: 146; KANAWATI 2002: PLS.60, 62–65.
\textsuperscript{80} BORCHARDT 1937: 185; VERNER 1986: PLS.9, 52, 56.
\textsuperscript{81} HASSAN 1950: FIG.220.
\textsuperscript{82} PORTER & MOSS 1974: 250; HARPUR 1987: 270.
\textsuperscript{83} BROVARSKI 2006: 97–98.
\textsuperscript{84} BROVARSKI 2006: 97–98.
\textsuperscript{85} BROVARSKI 2006: 97–98.
\textsuperscript{86} BROVARSKI 2006: 97–98.
\textsuperscript{87} BROVARSKI 2006: 97–98.
\textsuperscript{88} FISCHER 1968: 84.
\textsuperscript{89} FISCHER 1968: 84.
\textsuperscript{90} KANAWATI 2007: 86.
\textsuperscript{91} KANAWATI 2007: 86.
specific and more related to the Old Kingdom writing, predating the Herakleopolitan Period.\textsuperscript{92}

M. The employment of \textit{prt-\textit{h}rw nt} for men points to a date between the Sixth Dynasty, most likely from the middle of Pepy II’s reign, and the Eleventh Dynasty according to Postel.\textsuperscript{93} Brovarski believes its date to be late Sixth Dynasty\textsuperscript{94} and Dawood suggests the Eighth Dynasty\textsuperscript{95}. If we examine all the available Old Kingdom instances, it seems most likely that the expression appeared from the early reign of Pepy II onwards.

### IV. Conclusion

\[\text{\textit{S}}\] is one of the most popular and widely used personal names in the Old Kingdom. It was more regularly used for males than females. It was generally found in the Memphite cemetery, and infrequently, in the provincial cemeteries (Qasr es-Sayad). It was more public in Giza and Saqqara.

The name of the deceased, Seshemnefer, was recognized in the Pyramid Age from Neferirkare’s reign. It was rarely shown during that period. It increased in prevalence from the reign of Neuserre and continued in use through the second part of the Pyramid Age until the latter part of that period.

The name is inscribed with thirteen different forms. Twelve forms were previously attested and the last one is a new form shown on the lintel of this research. This different form has not been found in any published tomb up to this moment.

The deceased’s name, Seshemnefer, is attested with the unilateral sign \(\text{S}\) in reverse. This tradition was only found at Giza, Abusir, and Saqqara necropolises. It is related to the period from Neuserre’s reign to the end of the Sixth Dynasty.

This shape has been attested in the writing of the name of Seshemnefer only once in the Old Kingdom. It is on this lintel, subject of this article. All the cases of Seshemnefer’s name to date are written with the knife-sharpener except the subject of this research.

This form is employed in inscribing the sign \(\text{s}\text{\(\text{s}\)m}\) in some private names related to the period from the late reign of Izezi to the Eighth Dynasty in Heliopolis, Giza, Saqqara, El-Kab, and Dendera.

This title, \(\text{z}\text{\(\text{s}\)t}\text{\(\text{n}\)zwt} / \text{z}\text{\(\text{s}\)}\text{\(\text{w}\)} \text{(nw)} \text{n}zwt\), appears during the mid-Fourth Dynasty at Giza and from the end of the Fourth Dynasty at Saqqara, and continues through to the end of the Old Kingdom. It was more public in the capital cemetery and was infrequently used in the provincial cemeteries and Wadi Hammamat.

\textit{prt-\textit{h}rw nt} most probably started to be used from the early reign of Pepy II onwards.

\textsuperscript{92} \textsc{Lapp 1986: 91; Dawood 1998: 162.}
\textsuperscript{93} \textsc{Postel 2005: 255–261.}
\textsuperscript{94} \textsc{Brovarski 2006: 111.}
\textsuperscript{95} \textsc{Dawood 1998: 287.}
“sh-nfr” is more likely to be the embalming tent, rather than the shrine of the sarcophagus and coffin in the royal tombs of the New Kingdom, because it was used as a title for Anubis during the Old Kingdom.

The lintel can be dated based on the location of its discovery (the cemetery and the shaft with other finds), the personal name of the deceased and the way it is written, his title, and the type of offering formulae.
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