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**ABSTRACT**

This article deals with one of the false doors that was housed formerly in the Cairo Egyptian Museum at Tahrir, where it bore the N°. JdE 21760, CG 1399 and SR 2/15441. Then it was moved out to Taba Museum, where it bore the N°. 186. Now it is located in Ismailya Museum where it is presented under the N°. IS 3287. The present article includes the main description of the false door with its primary elements which consist of the cornice, the lintels, the jambs, the central niche, the drum, the panel and the way of representation of the deceased on its different parts. The article also discusses the texts inscribed on its different elements, their transliteration and translation, and presents several comments concerning the name of the owner of the false door and her epithets, which are mentioned on the different parts of the false door. Finally, a suggested date by the researcher is proposed based on the stylistic, iconographic and orthographic features displayed on the false door.
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I. INTRODUCTION

A False Door is one of the most characteristic elements of ancient Egyptian private tombs in general and Old Kingdom tombs in particular. The false door was named in the ancient Egyptian language as «r-pr» and «rwt»; the first term «r-pr» means false door and the second term «rwt» also means false door or gate, most likely referring to the belief that it was the gate of the deceased to the Hereafter. Moreover, it was called the «KA door» as it was believed that the (Ka) of the deceased has the ability to pass through it. Consequently, it was the bind or the link between the earthly world and the Hereafter for the Ka of the deceased to gain offerings.

False doors are inscribed stelae most frequently made from limestone, while in a few cases, some false doors were made of pink granite. The false doors were in the non-royal tombs as they usually occupy the west walls of the major rooms in these tombs, which were known as the offering rooms or the offering chambers.

The false door had two main functions in ancient Egyptian religious thought. The first one was to link the spirit of the deceased to interact with the living realm and the second one was to receive the different offerings which were deposited before the false door.

The false door discussed in this study was housed formerly in the Cairo Egyptian Museum at Tahrir, where it bore the N°s.JdE 21760, CG 1399 and SR 2/15441. Then it was moved out to Taba Museum, where it bore the N°.186. Currently it is exhibited at the Ismailiya Museum under the N°.IS 3287.

The current false door was excavated by late 1863 by Auguste Mariette in a tomb in the cemetery of South Saqqara. Both the tomb and the false door belong to a woman named Nit-Hnmw. Although nothing was mentioned in the context of this tomb except the false door. The false door had never been fully published before, although it was mentioned by Murray in her Index. Borchardt dated it to the sixth dynasty. Hermann Ranke mentioned it in his PN as the only known example for the name of the stela’s owner, and finally, it was mentioned by Brovarski as an example for an ewer and basin depicted on the far side of the bread stand which appear frequently on the false door's panels. The author chooses this false door so as to suggest a different date for it and to shed light on the iconographic, textual and orthographical features attested to private
stelae at the very end of the Old Kingdom and the beginning of the Heracleopolitan period particularly at South Saqqara.

II. DESCRIPTION

The false door\textsuperscript{10} is made of a single slab of calcite. It measures 47 cm in height and 33 cm in width. It is a small-sized false door\textsuperscript{11}, and no traces of colours are found except some patches of red colour that are still visible on the cornice. The false door is in a fair state of preservation; the texts and figures were all cut in a sunk relief\textsuperscript{12}. Lines are well chiseled, but most of the facial details of the figures are hardly defined.

The upper edge of the false door shows the cornice, which is incised with a recurring line imitating palm leaves with some visible remains of red colour. The upper edge of the cornice is decorated with a single horizontal inscription oriented from right to left. It includes the $\text{htp-di-nsw}$ formula\textsuperscript{13}, an epithet for the deceased and her name. Below the cornice appears the torus\textsuperscript{14}, a rounded edge surrounding each side of the false door representing a bundle of reeds tied together with ropes, a design which may represent the frames for actual contemporary wooden doors.

The false door consists of an architrave supported by two outer jambs, and a window shutter panel which is the central focus of the false doors’ stelae. There is a lintel below the panel based on two inner jambs, and between the inner jambs is the central niche in which the drum is located at its upper top.

The architrave includes a single horizontal sunk relief inscription oriented from right to left, the same direction as the seated figure on the door panel. This inscription is the $\text{htp-di-nsw}$ formula, an epithet for the deceased, as well as the deceased’s name followed by a seated figure, which may also serve as a determinative to identify the inscription that precedes it. The seated figure is holding a lotus bud with her left hand while the right hand is extended on her leg. The lower framing line of the architrave separates it from the outer jambs and the other elements of the false door.

The outer jambs are inscribed with one single vertical column of hieroglyphs where the signs are directed inwards towards the central niche, and presents an epithet for the deceased and her name. At the end of the hieroglyphic inscriptions, there is a standing figure of the stela’s owner, which acts as a portrait of her. On the left outer jamb, the deceased is depicted standing facing the central niche wearing a long wig that covers her ears. Although there are no traces for a broad collar, she probably originally had one. Nit-

---

\textsuperscript{10} It is worth noting that the terms used in this article to describe the main elements of the current false door were those of Nigel Strudwick. STRUDWICK 1985: 10-11, FIG.1.

\textsuperscript{11} These small-sized false doors were most probably favored at the end of the Old Kingdom due to their simplicity and affordable cost. STRUDWICK 1985: 51.

\textsuperscript{12} The sunk relief was known at least by the reign of the sovereign $\text{Neuserre}$, the Sixth king of the fifth dynasty. As a style of decoration for false doors from Saqqara cemetery and since then and onwards it became the favourite style. VON BECKERATH 1984: 55; EL-KHADAGY 2000: 43.

\textsuperscript{13} BARTA 1968.

\textsuperscript{14} It is worth commenting that false doors which include a cornice with a torus below were well known in Saqqara since the early of the fifth dynasty. WIEBACH 1981: 134; WIEBACH 2001: 449.
**THE FALSE DOOR OF Nit-Hnumw FROM SOUTH SAQQARA**

*Hnumw*, the stela’s owner, is depicted wearing a long tight-fitting garment with shoulder straps\(^{15}\), that can hardly be seen. She has bracelets on her wrists. She is holding and sniffing a lotus blossom with her left hand, while her right hand is extended beside her side. The same representation of the deceased appears on the outer right jamb with the same long tight dress with the v-neck upper part and wearing the same long wig. She holds the lotus bud with her right hand whilst the left-hand hangs empty by her side.

It is worth observing that *Nit-Hnumw* is depicted on the architrave, the two outer jambs and the panel holding a lotus blossom to her nose. This is a distinguishing feature for women rather than men in the Old Kingdom. Perhaps such an association was due to the beauty of the lotus and its subtlety\(^{16}\). The lotus is grasped near the calyx where the deceased’s hand appeared as a fist, or in another meaning the fingers were closed surrounding it\(^{17}\).

The lintel surmounting the central niche, the drum and the inner jambs, are inscribed with a single horizontal inscription oriented from right to left representing the *prt-hrw* phrase\(^{18}\), followed by the stela’s owner name. The inner jambs, which are located on both sides of the central niche, represent the same single vertical inscription of an epithet for *Nit-Hnumw* and her name. Each of the inner jambs lacks the figures of the deceased, most probably due to a lack of space. The central niche and the drum are undecorated\(^{19}\).

In the middle of the false door is the window shutter panel or the T-shaped panel; it shows the deceased sitting on a low back chair on the left facing right towards an offering stand. The legs of the chair are cut as lion feet on a tall truncated conical base\(^{20}\). The back stand of the chair can be observed under the pillow. The posterior of the chair depicts a lotus flower at its end. *Nit-Hnumw* is wearing the same long wig that covers the ears, the same as that appeared on the outer jambs, a long tight-fitting garment, and a collar around her neck. She holds a long lotus bud directly before her nose by her left arm where the fingers are clasped around the calyx of the flower, whilst her right arm extends towards the offering stand which is shown before her.

The offering stand\(^{21}\) consists of a flat tray supported by a cylindrical stand\(^{22}\) and is loaded with characteristic bread slices which are simplified in a rectangular shape\(^{23}\) where

\(^{15}\) STAEHELIN 1966: 166-170.

\(^{16}\) HARPUR 1987: 134.

\(^{17}\) HARPUR 1987: 134.

\(^{18}\) MANELY 2012: 37-38.

\(^{19}\) HARPUR 1987: 48.


\(^{21}\) HASAN 1944: 171-172.

\(^{22}\) For the interpretation of the tall bread loaves on a flat tray supported by a cylindrical stand, see: MARTIN 1984: 1129-1130; HARTWIG 2004: 86.

\(^{23}\) Most probably, that form of bread slices appeared for the first time as a result of incomplete work and was used onwards by artists as a simple method or technique to produce the bread slices above the offering table.
its two bottom corners were cut to look alike the lower parts of reed-shaped bread slices. Below the stand to the left and in front of the deceased’s feet rests a large nested basin surmounted by an ewer where its spout is directed towards the deceased’s face. Nothing is depicted on the right side of the table. Below the whole scene of the panel, the floor is represented as a single horizontal line. Above the offering stand is an abbreviated incised ideographic offering list directed from right to left towards the deceased, so as to indicate that she was the one who is addressed by it.

Text and Translation

The Upper Edge of the Cornice

\[ htp-di-\text{nsw} \text{ prt-\text{hrw} n im\text{j}(w)t hr Inpw tpy dw.f Nit-H\text{mmw} \]

An offering which the king gives, invocation offerings to the revered before Anubis who is upon his mountain

The Architrave

\[ htp-di-\text{nsw} \text{ Inp(w) prt-\text{hrw} n im\text{j}(w)t Nit-H\text{mmw} } \]

An offering which the king gives (and) Anubis, invocation offerings to the revered net-khnnmw

25 Brovarski was uncertain concerning the presence of another ewer and basin on the right side of the table but he left it as a great probability. Brovarski 2006: 108, N°351.
26 For the offering lists, Barta 1982: 586-589.
28 The author adopts in his facsimile many of the elements of the facsimiles given by Mariette 1889: 367 and Borchardt 1937: 60, with slight differences according to his own observations (e.g. the shape of the panel differs than that of Mariette, whilst the writing of the epithet im\text{j}(w)t on the outer right jamb differs from that given by Borchardt. Likewise, the shape of the seated lady on the Architrave was not mentioned entirely by the latter as well.
29 For the restoration of this part, Mariette 1889: 367; Borchardt 1937: 60.
30 Offering formulae act as an effective point of interaction between the earthly world and the realm of the dead, these formulae were registered on the different parts of the tomb, htp-di-nsw considered as a statement of glorification and declaration of divine and royal endorsement, through these formulae the deceased guaranteed the continuous flowing of offerings and the execution of the funerary rites. Furthermore, it recorded the divine and royal permission issued by the sovereign to the deceased to build a tomb and to be buried in the necropolis with his funerary equipment’s so as to exist with his property in the Hereafter. Goedicke 1970: 37; Eyre 1987: 22-24; Satzinger 1997: 177-188; Allen 2006: 13-15.
31 This formula is the main known formula, as far as I know, to represent the interaction between the dead and the other gods. Lapp 1986; Kloth 2002; Jansen-Winkeln 1996.
32 tpy dw.f was one of the classical epithets of Anubis. It was known since the fifth dynasty but it was frequent in the sixth dynasty and thereafter as well. Barta 1968: 15, 25.
The Left Outer Jamb

\[ imi(h)(w)t \ hr \ ntr \ °3 \ \text{Nit-Hnmw} \]
The revered before the great god \text{net-khnmw} 

The Right Outer Jamb

\[ imi(h)(w)t \ hr \ ntr \ °3 \ \text{Nit-Hnmw} \]
The revered before the great god \text{net-khnmw} 

The Lower Lintel

\[ prt-hrw \ nt \ \text{Nit-Hnmw} \]
Invocation offerings for \text{net-khnmw} 

The Left Inner Jamb

\[ imi(h) \ (w)t \ \text{Nit-Hnmw} \]
The revered \text{net-khnmw}
The Right Inner Jamb

\[ \text{imih (w) Nit-Hnwm} \]

The revered net-khnmw

The Panel

\[ h3 \; hnkt \; h3 \; Nit-Hnwm \]

A thousand jars of beer, a thousand\(^{33}\) net-khnmw

III. COMMENTARY

A. The Name

Names were important as they define the personality of the stela’s owner\(^{34}\) as well as reflecting the beliefs, culture and community in which the stela’s owner belongs\(^{35}\). The owner of the current false door was called \textit{Nit-Hnwm (w)}\(^{36}\) which means «the one belonging to Khnum»\(^{37}\). The name \textit{Nit-Hnwm (w)} was listed by Murray in her Index\(^{38}\). It is the only known occurrence of this name in Ranke’s \textit{Personennamen} as well\(^{39}\). It is worth commenting that the name of god Khnum\(^{40}\) was added to many private names since the Old Kingdom, and onwards as \textit{hnm (w)-nfr}\(^{41}\), \textit{hnm (w)-hs.f}\(^{42}\), \textit{hnm (w)-htp}\(^{43}\) and \textit{hmn.t.i}\(^{44}\). Consequently, it was a well-known characteristic in private names since the Old Kingdom\(^{45}\). Scheele-Schweitzer noted that during the Old Kingdom there were forty-six names containing \textit{hnm (w)}. Only three of these names were female private names. Perhaps \textit{Nit-Hnwm} was one of them\(^{46}\). The names associated with the god Khnum were frequent

\(^{33}\) Most probably, there was an offering that should be inscribed after the word \textit{h3} in this ideographic offering list, but this may be due to the lack of space. So, this kind of offering was not scribed.

\(^{34}\) HELLEM 2015: 235-242.

\(^{35}\) For the importance and function of personal names, see: VITTMANN 2013.

\(^{36}\) RANKE 1935: 181 [10].

\(^{37}\) RANKE 1935: 181 [10].

\(^{38}\) MURRAY 1908: VIII.

\(^{39}\) RANKE 1935: 181 [10].

\(^{40}\) For this god and its iconographies and functions, see: LGG 2002: vol.4, 25-28.

\(^{41}\) JUNKER 1929: 189; RANKE 1935: 275 [19].


\(^{43}\) BORCHARDT 1911: 105-106 (CG 144); SETHE 1933: 140 [16], 141 [5]. MERZEBAN 2020: 77.


\(^{46}\) SCHEELE-SCHWEITZER 2014: 44.
during the Old Kingdom, the Heracleopolitan period and the Middle Kingdom in many provinces as Abusir\textsuperscript{47}, Saqqara\textsuperscript{48}, Bersheh\textsuperscript{49}, Beni Hassan\textsuperscript{50}, Rifeh\textsuperscript{51} and Abydos\textsuperscript{52}.

The name \textit{Nit-Hnumw} shows a special connection between the deity and the bearer of the name as she was described as «belonging to god Khnum»\textsuperscript{53}. The name of the deceased consists of two parts: the nisba \textit{nit} which was obtained from the preposition (n) and a noun which is the name of the god Khnum.

Scheele-Schweitzer referred that the name formation through the nisba (\textit{ni} or \textit{nit}) followed by a noun is particularly popular in theophoric and basilophoric personal names. The nisba (\textit{ni} or \textit{nit}) was often accompanied by a god or a king’s name\textsuperscript{54}.

The name \textit{Nit-Hnumw} is attested eight times with the same spelling on the different elements of the current false door. This feature of the recurring the name of the owner of the false door is frequent on the false door’s inscriptions. This repetition of the name undoubtedly was to protect his existence in the afterlife through the preservation of his name. The existence of the name also guaranteed the continuous flow of offerings in the afterlife.

Unfortunately, the identity and career of \textit{Nit-Hnumw} were not known due to a lack of texts on her false door, which could reveal to us details about her relation or connection with that deity as well as her profession. Instead, the false door only presents two epithets for her.

**B. Epithets:** The stela bears two epithets for the deceased \textit{Nit-Hnm(w)}, The first is \textit{im\textasciitilde{}hw\textasciitilde{}}\textit{t}, while the second is \textit{im\textasciitilde{}hw\textasciitilde{}}\textit{ hr nfr w} \textsuperscript{52}

**B.1. \textit{im\textasciitilde{}hw\textasciitilde{}}\textit{t}**. The deceased was addressed on the architrave and the inner jambs as \textit{im\textasciitilde{}hw\textasciitilde{}}\textit{t}\textsuperscript{55}, an epithet usually placed before the names of the deceased. In fact, various opinions were raised concerning its meaning. In the \textit{Wb}, it is suggested that it means «the honored one» or «the venerated»\textsuperscript{56}. Fischer noted that it means «being esteemed»\textsuperscript{57}, while Jones adopted several possible meanings for the current epithet as «the honored one», «the revered», «the venerated» and «The one who has been provided for»\textsuperscript{58}. No doubt that this

\textsuperscript{47} BORCHARDT 1937: 131 (CG 1447).
\textsuperscript{48} BORCHARDT 1911: 105-106 (CG 144); BORCHARDT 1964: 101 (CG 1634).
\textsuperscript{49} GRIFFITH & NEWBERRY 1895: 42.
\textsuperscript{50} NEWBERRY 1893: vol.1, pls.24, 46; vol.2, pl.14.
\textsuperscript{51} PETRIE 1907: pl.13 (f).
\textsuperscript{52} LANGE & SCHÄFER 1902: 18-19 (CG 20019), 189 (CG 20161); LANGE & SCHÄFER 1908: 46 (CG 20450), 165-166 (CG 20544).
\textsuperscript{53} SCHEELE-SCHWEITZER 2014: 112.
\textsuperscript{54} SCHEELE-SCHWEITZER 2014: 59.
\textsuperscript{55} Others believed in the reading \textit{im\textasciitilde{}hwt} with the omission of (w) as it is a weak sound. \textit{Wb} 1926: vol.1, 82 [13-16]; HANNIG 2005: 80-81; MANLEY 2012: 13, 61.
\textsuperscript{56} \textit{Wb} 1926: vol.1, 82.
\textsuperscript{57} FISCHER 1991: 22.
\textsuperscript{58} JONES 2000: vol.1,11 [42].
epithet means that the deceased deserves supplies and offerings in the afterlife\textsuperscript{59}. It is worth noting that this epithet was known since the fourth dynasty\textsuperscript{60}.

B.2. \textit{im\textsuperscript{3}lw} \textit{hr ntr} \textit{3}: The deceased was addressed on the outer jambs of this false door by this epithet. Jones translated it as «revered with the great god»\textsuperscript{61}, while Boraik suggested that it means «revered before the great god»\textsuperscript{62}. It is worth noting that the epithet \textit{im\textsuperscript{3}lw (i)} was sometimes followed by the preposition \begin{math} \leftarrow \textit{hr} \end{math}\textsuperscript{63} then a name of a king\textsuperscript{64} or a god\textsuperscript{65}, or an epithet for a god\textsuperscript{66} or king\textsuperscript{67}.

Goelet explained that the epithet in the latter case means that its holder was revered «in the presence of» or with a god or king\textsuperscript{68}. As for \textit{ntr} \textit{3}, Baines did not agree with the translation of «greatest god» as he suggested that \textit{ntr} \textit{3} sometimes indicates the meaning of the «great god»\textsuperscript{69} while on other occasions, it is a title which is translated as «major god»\textsuperscript{70}. Baines mentioned that \textit{ntr} \textit{3} was a characteristic unnamed deity known since the Old Kingdom and onwards where a specific deity seems to be meant\textsuperscript{71}. Nuzzolo noted that the term (\textit{ntr} \textit{3}) was known firstly in the royal context since the reign of \textit{Snfrw} and then in the offering formulas of private individuals since the last years of Khufu and the early years of Khafre\textsuperscript{72}.

It is worth noting that different opinions were raised concerning the deity whose epithet was \textit{ntr} \textit{3}. Gardiner, Sethe, Griffiths and Manley believed that it refers to the god Osiris\textsuperscript{73}, while Junker suggested that this epithet may refer either to the god Horus or Anubis\textsuperscript{74}. On the other hand, Kees noted that \textit{ntr} \textit{3} refers to the living king\textsuperscript{75}. Anthes adopted the opinion suggested by Kees but he added that \textit{ntr} \textit{3} refers to the king in both of his aspects, the living king and the deceased Osiris\textsuperscript{76}. Nuzzolo pointed out that it was associated with the dead king as it was attested in the tomb of \textit{slm-kt-R\textsuperscript{C}} at Giza, which is dated to the early fifth dynasty, with a sequence of past deceased kings\textsuperscript{77}. He also noted that such a term was mentioned without a determinative until the reign of \textit{Neuserre} and later from the reign of that sovereign it was followed by the determinative of a seated

\textsuperscript{59} FISCHER 1979: 45.\textsuperscript{60} FISCHER 1996: 194.\textsuperscript{61} JONES 2000: vol.1, 41 [210].\textsuperscript{62} BORAIK, ABDELSATTAR & FAYEZ 2016: 5.\textsuperscript{63} GARDINER 1986: 585; JONES 2000: vol.1, 11 [42].\textsuperscript{64} For example, \textit{Imy} (the birth name of the sixth monarch in the fifth dynasty \textit{Ni-User-Re}. The deceased was addressed as \textit{im\textsuperscript{3}lw r w nswt} (the last king in the fifth dynasty). JONES 2000: vol.1, 13 (55), 19 \cite{VON BECKERATH 1984: 55 (6), (9)}.\textsuperscript{65} E.g. \textit{im\textsuperscript{3}lw r Immtt, im\textsuperscript{3}lw hr Inpw} and \textit{im\textsuperscript{3}lw hr wsir}. JONES 2000: vol.1, 13, 19 [53, 56, 89].\textsuperscript{66} E.g. \textit{im\textsuperscript{3}lw hr ntr \textit{3} nb Immtt} and \textit{im\textsuperscript{3}lw hr ntr \textit{3} nb pt}. JONES 2000: vol.1, 31 [145-146].\textsuperscript{67} As \textit{nb f} or \textit{nswt e.g. im\textsuperscript{3}lw hr nb f} and \textit{im\textsuperscript{3}lw hr nswt}. JONES 2000: vol.1, 28 [129], 29 [135].\textsuperscript{68} GOELET 1986: 92, N°. 31.\textsuperscript{69} BAINES 1983: 22; BERLEV 2003: 19.\textsuperscript{70} BAINES 1983: 22.\textsuperscript{71} BAINES 1983: 15,18.\textsuperscript{72} NUZZOLO 2021: 128, N°.170.\textsuperscript{73} GARDINER & SETHE 1928: 11-12; GRIFFITHS 1980: 184; MANLEY 2012: 67.\textsuperscript{74} JUNKER 1934: 52-53.\textsuperscript{75} KEE 1956: 30-32.\textsuperscript{76} ANTHES 1959: 192.\textsuperscript{77} NUZZOLO 2021: 128.
bearded man, the same determinative that was used in the name of Osiris. But, during
the reign of Pepy II, it was attested again without a determinative. Both Baines and
Berlev noted that such a term may refer to the sun god. Fischer mentioned that the
identification of the great god with Osiris does not rule out his identification with Anubis,
Horus the living king, and Re as well. And it was not before the eleventh dynasty that
this epithet was related to Osiris. Fischer here accepted all the previous opinions.

However, I suggest that the epithet nfr from the current false door may either refer
to the god Anubis, as it was the only deity inscribed on this false door either
ideographically as on the cornice or phonetically as on the Architrave, or the god Khnum
whom the owner of the stela belonged as reflected from her private name.

It is worth noting that the epithet imnḥ (w) tḥr nfr from females since the reigns of Shepseskaf - Userka and continued in the reign of Pepy II as well as late Old Kingdom and the Heracleopolitan period.

C. The Phrase prt-ḥrw: Although the (t) and ḫnḥt signs are found on the cornice, the
Architrave and the lower lintel within the phrase ḫḥ ḫḥ prt-ḥrw, I consider both as
part of the prt-ḥrw form in the transliteration and translation, as there is no way to be
sure if ḫḥ and ḫḥ in prt-ḥrw were used as determinatives in that form or as separate
words for actual offerings. Moreover, if they were written fully as part of offerings
then in that case they may indicate separate words.

IV. DATING

The false door of this study presents several features which appeared frequently
on the false doors of the very later part of the Old Kingdom and the Heracleopolitan period.

Some Stylistic Features of Dating

1- The topology of the false door, which is distinguished by its small size, is a general
frequent stylistic feature for the false doors since the very late years of Pepy II.
This typology continued to be used until the end of the Heracleopolitan period\textsuperscript{90}, most probably due to its simplicity and affordable cost.

2- The false door is characterized by its narrow jambs with only a single column of inscription. This feature was not known before the second half of the long reign of Pepy II\textsuperscript{91}, particularly at South Saqqara\textsuperscript{92} and continued since then till the end of the Heracleopolitan period\textsuperscript{93}.

3- The combination of the figures on the outer jambs of the false door and the texts on the inner jambs appear in many examples of very late Old Kingdom in general\textsuperscript{94} and also in South Saqqara\textsuperscript{95}. It is worth commenting that this feature continued in the Heracleopolitan period and in the Middle Kingdom\textsuperscript{96}.

4- The current false door follows the late Old Kingdom feature in which the architrave and the outer jambs are treated as a «surround»\textsuperscript{97}, a term used firstly by Gunn\textsuperscript{98}. He dated its appearance to the late of the sixth dynasty and the first Intermediate period\textsuperscript{99}, but further examinations show that it appeared occasionally on false doors of the later part of the sixth dynasty\textsuperscript{100}. It became frequent since the eighth dynasty and the Heracleopolitan period\textsuperscript{101}.

5- The occurrence of a torus surrounding the false door and above the ordinary cornice is a sixth dynasty feature. Before this dynasty it was a significance of the status and the high standing of the owner of the false door\textsuperscript{102}. It is worth commenting that the cornice decoration did not become a norm regardless of the importance of the stela’s owner before the end of the sixth dynasty\textsuperscript{103}. It then continued until the end of the Old Kingdom\textsuperscript{104} and continued in the Heracleopolitan period until the Middle Kingdom\textsuperscript{105}.

\textsuperscript{90} Firth & Gunn 1926: vol.1, 179; vol.2, PLS.70 (1-2), 71 (1-2), 72 (1-2), 73 (1-2), 74 (2); Brovarski 2009: 372-373.

\textsuperscript{91} Although it appeared at the beginning of the sixth dynasty on the false doors of \textsuperscript{9}nh-m-s\textsuperscript{-f}r / \textsuperscript{5}R\textsuperscript{-w}\textsuperscript{r} respectively, for their dating. It was a rare and unusual feature before the last years of Pepy II. Strudwick 1985: 36, 75 (30), 115 (93).

\textsuperscript{92} Strudwick 1985: 17, 36.

\textsuperscript{93} Firth & Gunn 1926: vol.1, 179; Fischer 1963: PLS.VI-VII a.

\textsuperscript{94} Hassan 1975: vol.3, Fig.220; Brovarski 1994: 34-38, Figs.2,6 - 2,7; Kanawati & Hassan 1996: PLS.12 a, 45 b; Brovarski 2009: 362.

\textsuperscript{95} Jéquier 1929: Fig. 97; Jéquier 1940: vol.3, Fig. 64.

\textsuperscript{96} Firth & Gunn 1926: vol.2, PL.73 (2); Fischer 1963: PLS.VI-VII a; Brovarski 2009: 362, 373, 405.

\textsuperscript{97} Firth & Gunn 1926: vol.1, 179.

\textsuperscript{98} Where the Architrave project forwards over the outer jambs, this feature is recognized by the way in which the lower framing line of the inscriptions on the Architrave often runs right across to mark the Architrave from the outer jambs. Firth & Gunn 1926: vol.1, 179, N\textsuperscript{9}.4.

\textsuperscript{99} Firth & Gunn 1926: vol.1, 179, N\textsuperscript{9}.4; Brovarski 2009: 360.

\textsuperscript{100} Brovarski 2009: 370.

\textsuperscript{101} Brovarski 2009: 360, 370.

\textsuperscript{102} Wiebach 1981: 133-135.

\textsuperscript{103} Wiebach 2001: 500.

\textsuperscript{104} Strudwick 1985: 15, 35; Brovarski 2009: 362, 364.

\textsuperscript{105} ii-m-hp, 67 (2) ipi-n\textsuperscript{-f}hw, 68 ipi-m-s\textsuperscript{-s}, 70 (2) hnt, 71 (1) hry-s.f-nht. Firth & Gunn 1926: vol.2, PLS.67 (1); Fischer 1963: PLS.V, VII a.
6- The presence of a blank central niche is a common feature from the end of the Old Kingdom, particularly from the sixth dynasty,¹⁰⁶ until the eighth dynasty¹⁰⁷ and continued in use during the Heracleopolitan period¹⁰⁸.

7- The inscriptions of the false door were all cut in sunk relief, which was a frequent feature in the sixth dynasty;¹⁰⁹ this is despite the fact that it was known only for high officials during the fifth dynasty¹¹⁰. It continued in use in the very late of the Old Kingdom and the Heracleopolitan period¹¹¹.

8- The architrave and the outer pair of jambs were all in one plane, whilst the inner jambs were stepped back. This feature was sometimes attested on false doors dated to the sixth dynasty¹¹², but it became common by the advent of the very latter part of the Old Kingdom particularly the eighth dynasty¹¹³. It continued on the false doors of the Heracleopolitan period¹¹⁴.

9- The drum is located at the upper part of the central niche on the same plane of the inner jambs; it also lacks any inscriptions. These features are typical of false doors dating to the late of the Old Kingdom¹¹⁵ where it was known on many false doors from the sixth dynasty¹¹⁶ and continued thereafter towards the end of the Old Kingdom¹¹⁷ till the end of the Heracleopolitan period¹¹⁸.

10- The panel: the false door shows the T-shaped panel; it is observed that there are two types of T-shaped panels¹¹⁹ which appeared in South Saqqara since the late sixth dynasty¹²⁰. The first is the current T-shaped panel in which the joint of the horizontal and vertical sides of the «T» form a right angle¹²¹, while the second is the flaring T-shaped panel whose sides show a gradual curve¹²².

The current T-shaped panel was much debated among scholars concerning its first attestation. Strudwick argued that it made its first appearance on the false

¹⁰⁷ BORAIK, ABDELSATTAR & FAYEZ 2016: 2, FIG.1, 7.
¹¹² FIRTH & GUNN 1926: vol.1,193 (23); vol.2, PLS.67 (1), 73 (2); FISCHER 1963: PLS.V- VIIa.
¹¹⁴ BROVARSKI 2009: 360, 362.
¹¹⁵ BROVARSKI 2009: 360.
¹¹⁶ BORCHARDT 1937: PLS.15 (CG 1397), 18 (CG 1406), 19 (CG 1413).
¹¹⁷ E.g. snt-št.s who is dated later than Pepy II and not later than the end of the first intermediate period, Hallström 2017: 32.
¹¹⁸ E.g. ḫtp, Quibell 1908: PL.VI (2); bnuwt & djsw-htp, respectively, also snt. FIRTH & GUNN 1926: vol.2, PLS.70 (2), 75; GABUS 1976: 54, PL.I.
¹¹⁹ Battiscombe Gunn identified the T-shaped panel as: It represents a rectangular wooden shutter swinging on two horizontal pivots at the top corners. For further discussion on these types of false doors panels, see: FIRTH & GUNN 1926: vol.1, 176, Nº.6; KANAWATI 1992: 85-86.
¹²⁰ BROVARSKI 2006: 112.
¹²¹ BROVARSKI 2006: 111.
doors since the middle of the sixth dynasty\textsuperscript{123} on the false door of Ppy-D\textsuperscript{124}, the son of the vizier \textit{hnty-k3\textasciitilde l\textasciitilde hti}, which is located in the tomb of his father\textsuperscript{125}. Brovarski, however, suggested a later date for this style of T-shaped panels as it came into use since the reign of Merenre I particularly on the false door of Weni the elder of Abydos\textsuperscript{126}. This style of panels became the preference since the reign of Pepy II and later, particularly at south Saqqara, where the current false door was unearthed, as it was attested on the false door of the vizier Teti (Pepy II’s son)\textsuperscript{127} and many other later examples\textsuperscript{128}. This T-shaped panel was used during the eighth dynasty\textsuperscript{129} and in the Heracleopolitan period as well\textsuperscript{130}.

Some Iconographic Features Prove this Dating

1- The back of the chair is covered by a cushion. Cherpion suggested that this feature appeared by the time of \textit{Snfrw} but she stated that it was rare in the fifth dynasty until the reign of Iseesi. The cushioned back chair became very common feature since the reign of Wenis until the end of the sixth dynasty\textsuperscript{131}. Bolshakov argued against the suggestion made by Cherpion as he noted that the tombs dated by Cherpion to the fourth and first half of the fifth dynasty\textsuperscript{132} could not be dated before the time of Neuserre\textsuperscript{133}. Consequently, this iconographic feature appeared since the reign of Neuserre and became frequent from that time until the end of the sixth dynasty\textsuperscript{134}. Furthermore, it continued thereafter, as it was attested on the false door panel of \textit{s3t-l-tnw} which Fischer dated to the eighth dynasty\textsuperscript{135}. Such a date was also accepted by Brovarski for that false door\textsuperscript{136}. In all cases, this iconographic feature continued to the Heracleopolitan period on many false door’s panels from Saqqara\textsuperscript{137}.

2- The lion-legged chair was a very common feature in the sixth dynasty private reliefs\textsuperscript{138}, and continued thereafter in the Memphite reliefs at the very end of the

\textsuperscript{123} Strudwick 1985: 18.
\textsuperscript{124} He dated him by the later reign of Pepy I. Strudwick 1985: 18.
\textsuperscript{125} James & Apter 1953: Pl. 42.
\textsuperscript{126} Baer believed that he continued his career in the reign of Merenre I. Baer 1960: 66 [110]; Brovarski 2006: 111-112.
\textsuperscript{127} Brovarski 2006: 85, Fig.6, 112.
\textsuperscript{128} Brovarski 2006: 112, N°.408.
\textsuperscript{129} Fischer 1963: Pl.VI (the false door of \textit{s3t-l-tnw}).
\textsuperscript{130} The false door of \textit{Sni}. PM vol.3/2: 568; Gabus 1967: 54, Pl.I; and the false door of Heryshefnakht. Firth & Gunn 1926: vol.2, Pl. 71 (1); Brovarski 2009: 369, N°.74.
\textsuperscript{131} Cherpion 1986: 30, critère 6.
\textsuperscript{132} Cherpion 1986: 151-152.
\textsuperscript{133} Bolshakov 2001: 72.
\textsuperscript{134} Bolshakov 2001: 72-74.
\textsuperscript{135} Fischer 1963: 36, Pl.VI.
\textsuperscript{136} Brovarski 2009: 359.
\textsuperscript{137} Firth & Gunn 1926: vol.2, Pls. 67 (2), 69-70 (1-2), 71 (1-2), 73 (1), 74-75.
\textsuperscript{138} E.g. the \textit{ma\textasciitilde stabas} of Qar and Idu at Giza, Simpson 1976: Pls. VII c, XIV a, XXVII b, XXIX d, Figs.20, 23; and Mereruka and Khentika at Saqqara; DueLL et Al. 1938: Pls. 57, 78, 88, 96; James & Apter 1953: Pls. XIII, XIX; Fischer 1996: 146.
Old Kingdom till the Heracleopolitan period. Fischer also noted that it was a quite common stylistic feature in the Middle Kingdom as well.

3- The attestation of a fine distance between the deceased who is represented seated on the panel and the back of the chair. This iconographic feature made its first appearance in Ibi’s tomb of Dair al-Gabrawi, which Brovarski dated to the first third of Pepy II’s reign while Baer dated it to the early to middle reign of Pepy II. In all cases, this feature has been used frequently since then in south Saqqara and in the provinces as well. It was also attested in the eighth dynasty and during the Heracleopolitan period in the Memphite necropolis.

4- The depiction of the bread slices on the offering stand in a rectangular shape might be known since the late reign of king Wenis when it appeared on the false door of his vizier Ihy. Others suggest that it appeared first on the false door of the queen Iput I, king Teti’s spouse. This feature was known since then until the end of the Old Kingdom and thereafter in the Heracleopolitan period.

5- The representation of a standing woman holding a lotus blossom to her nose while her other hand is extended freely by her side on the outer jambs is a frequent pose on the jambs of the false doors since the reign of Neuserre until the end of the Old Kingdom. It continued on the false doors of Ipi-m-s3.s, hwrt, htp and dw3w-htp which are dated to the Heracleopolitan period. Brovarski believed that it was a well-known iconographic feature in the Heracleopolitan period.

6- Harpur noted that the long hanging stem of the lotus blossom was a sixth dynasty feature which continued to the eighth dynasty.

141 Fischer 1996: 146.
142 Davies 1902: vol.1, PLS.6, 8, 12, 19.
143 Brovarski 2006: 76.
144 Baer 1960: 288 [32].
147 Fischer 1963: PL.VI (sHz-3-fnw).
149 Strudwick 1985: 63 (15).
151 Jéquier 1928: FIG. 37.
152 Firth & Gunn 1926: vol.2, PLS. 67 (2), 68, 73 (2).
154 Firth & Gunn 1926: vol.2, PL.68.
155 Firth & Gunn 1926: vol.2, PL.70 (2).
156 Firth & Gunn 1926: vol.2, PL.72 (1).
157 Firth & Gunn 1926: vol.2, PL.75.
159 Brovarski 1973: 461.
161 Fischer 1963: PL.VI.
7- The presence of a nested ewer and basin on false doors was known since the advent of the sixth dynasty\textsuperscript{162} and continued until the end of the Heracleopolitan period\textsuperscript{163}. 8- The appearance of a nested ewer and basin only, with the absence of any racks, indicates the transitional period between the Old Kingdom and the Heracleopolitan period\textsuperscript{164}. Some Orthographic Features of Dating

1- The god Anubis is written on the upper edge of the cornice ideographically on a stand, which is a very common feature of the Heracleopolitan period.

2- The arrangement of the \textit{htp-di-nsw} formula as \includegraphics[width=2cm]{formula.png}, either on the upper edge of the cornice and on the Architrave, was known since the fourth dynasty\textsuperscript{165}, but it flourished during the sixth dynasty up to the eleventh dynasty\textsuperscript{166}.

3- The traditional writing of the \textit{prt-hrw} expression with the stretched bread determinative in that way \includegraphics[width=2cm]{expression.png} is a late Old Kingdom linguistic form\textsuperscript{167}, while the addition of the genitival adjective \includegraphics[width=2cm]{adjective.png} (\textit{n}) directly following that expression is feature of the Heracleopolitan period in the Memphite necropolis\textsuperscript{168} and in the provinces as well\textsuperscript{169}, which is attested on the architrave.

4- The lower lintel presented the arrangement of \textit{prt-hrw} as \includegraphics[width=2cm]{arrangement.png} \textit{prt-hrw nt}; this arrangement was popularly utilized at the end of the sixth dynasty until\textsuperscript{170} the eleventh dynasty\textsuperscript{171}.

5- The beer jar determinative is inscribed without hands. The earlier examples for the current writing is derived from the hieratic documents\textsuperscript{172}, and became frequent on stelae from the sixth to the eighth dynasties\textsuperscript{173}.

6- The full orthographical writing of the epithet \includegraphics[width=2cm]{epithet.png} \textit{im\textbar h (w)}, whose initial (i) is never omitted is a characteristic of Old Kingdom writing\textsuperscript{174} and continued till the first intermediate period\textsuperscript{175}.

7- The phonetics of the epithet \textit{im\textbar h w} was changed to \textit{im\textbar h y} during the reign of Merikare (last king of the tenth dynasty)\textsuperscript{176} at Asyut while at Thebes it was changed in the fourteenth year of \textit{Nbt-hbt-R}\textsuperscript{177}. Thus, the false door should be dated before the time of Merikare.

8- The phonetic writing of the name of the god Anubis on the architrave as \includegraphics[width=2cm]{name.png} without the jackal determinative following it, is an orthographical feature firstly attested in the burial

\textsuperscript{162} Brovarski 2005: 57; Brovarski 2008: 73.
\textsuperscript{163} Firth & Gunn 1926: vol.2, PLS.67 (1-2), 68-70 (2), 72 (2), 73 (1-2); Brovarski 2009: 369.
\textsuperscript{164} Brovarski 2009: 362; Abd El-Sattar, Boraik & Fayez 2015: 328.
\textsuperscript{165} Barta 1968: 4, 12, 21, 36, 45, 72, 85.
\textsuperscript{166} Firth & Gunn 1926: vol.1, 122; Fischer 1963: Pl.V.
\textsuperscript{167} Fischer 1968: 84 (14).
\textsuperscript{168} E.g. \textit{Ipi-m\textbar s\textbar s} and \textit{sni}. Firth & Gunn 1926: vol.2, PLS.68, 73 (2) respectively.
\textsuperscript{170} Davies 1902: vol.2, PL8; Fischer 1968: 100, Fig.16, 104; Postel 2005: 255.
\textsuperscript{171} Fischer 1973: 20.
\textsuperscript{172} Goedicke 1988: 46 a-b (W 22).
\textsuperscript{173} Boraik, Abdelsattar & Fayez 2016: 8.
\textsuperscript{174} Boraik, Abdelsattar & Fayez 2016: 8.
\textsuperscript{175} Soleiman 2018: 821.
\textsuperscript{176} Von Beckerath 1984: 62.
\textsuperscript{177} Schenkel 1962: 51, 59; Brovarski 1989: 231.
The False Door of *Nt-hnsw* from South Saqqara

chambers and coffin inscriptions\textsuperscript{178}. However, by the very late Old Kingdom and the Heracleopolitan period, it became more familiar and frequent in the superstructure inscriptions\textsuperscript{179}.

The aim of the following [Table 1] is to illustrate the previous stylistic (S), iconographic (I) and orthographic (O) features of dating, thus concluding a specific date for the false door.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>The end of the fifth dynasty</th>
<th>The beginning of the sixth dynasty (Teti-Pepy I-Merenre)</th>
<th>First half Pepy II</th>
<th>Second half Pepy II</th>
<th>Dynasties 6-8</th>
<th>Hera. Period</th>
<th>Middle Kingdom</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S5</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S6</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S7</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S10</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I1</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I2</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I4</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O2</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O6</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O7</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[Table 1]: © Done by the researcher

\textsuperscript{178} FISCHER 1976: 7, No. 8-9.

\textsuperscript{179} QUIEBELL 1907: PLS.XIII, XVI, XVIII (1); FIRTH & GUNN 1926: vol.2, Pl.21; FISCHER 1963: Pl.VI; BROVARSKI 2006: 108.
V. CONCLUSION

Based on stylistic, iconographical and orthographical features, a suggested date for the false door ranges from the beginning of the sixth dynasty up to the Middle Kingdom; this date range is suggested by features (S5, I2, O2). While some of these previously discussed features show a shorter date range that extends from the second half of the Pepy II’s reign to the Middle Kingdom- features (S3 and O4).

But, according to the orthographical feature (O7) the false door can never go later than the tenth year of Merikare. Most of the other features indicate a dating ranging from the beginning of the sixth dynasty to the end of the Heracleopolitan period as reflected from the features (S5, S6, S7, S10, I1, I2, I4, I5, I7, O2, O6, O7).

Although there is a feature that is common during the first half of Pepy II’s reign and extends to the end of the Heracleopolitan period, as reflected from the feature (I3), there are many features which extend from the second half of Pepy II’s reign to the end of the Heracleopolitan Period as reflected from the features (S2, S3, S4, S9, O4).

So, the false door can be dated from the second half of Pepy’s II reign to the end of the Heracleopolitan Period, but according to the features (S1, S8, I8 & O8) which are not known before the very end of the Old Kingdom, i.e., after the end of the long reign of Pepy II, the false door can be dated by the very end of the Old Kingdom up to the end of the Heracleopolitan period. Finally, according to the features (O1, O3) Nit-Hnmw was contemporary with the advent of the Heracleopolitan period as these features were not common before that date, but the features (I6 & O5) became well known only during the period after the end of Pepy II.

Thus, I tend to date this false door to the transition stage between the end of the Old Kingdom and the beginning of the Heracleopolitan period. Consequently, Nit-Hnmw was dated to the end of the eighth dynasty and the early years of the ninth dynasty, contra Borchardt who dated the false door to the sixth dynasty.
THE FALSE DOOR OF Nt-'Hnw from South Saqqara
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