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#### Abstract

[AR] صنندوق الأو اني الكانوبية لجحوتي نخت $$
\begin{aligned} & \text { يتناول البحث دراسة صيندوق الأوانى الكانوبية الخاص بجحوتى نخت، من عصر الدولة الوسطى. عُثر على هذا الصندوق فف دير البرشا فى مصر } \\ & \text { الوسطى، وهي معروضة حالياً فق المتحف المصري تحت رقم CCG 4740. } 47 \text {.لهدف البحث إلى تحديد التعاويذ المقتبسة منها نصوص الصندوق عن } \\ & \text { طريق مقارنة هذه النصيوص بمثيلاتها فی الكتب الدينية المختلفة (نصوص الأهرام - نصوص التوابيت - كتاب الموتى). هذا بالإضافة إلى وصف } \\ & \text { الصندوق والمناظر المصوره على جوانبه الداخلية يتبعها تعليقات على نمط الزخارف والنصوص المسجلة على جوانب الصندوق الخارجية } \\ & \text { والداخلية، وكنلك تأرنخ الصندوق. } \end{aligned}
$$


[EN] This article discusses a chest of Canopic jars belonging to $\underline{D} h w t y-n h t$, during the Middle Kingdom. The chest was found at Deir El-Bersha in Middle Egypt and is preserved now under the number CCG 4740 in the Egyptian Museum. This article aims to identify the quoted spells by comparing its texts with other religious texts (Pyramid text, coffin text, Book of the Dead). A description of the chest of Canopic jars will be presented here, followed by general comments on the style, decoration and text painted on the interior of the chest. The article will also examine the dating of the chest, identification of its owner and the quoted spells.
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## I. Introduction

A cubical wooden chest of Canopic Jars belonging to $\underline{D} h \boldsymbol{w} t y-n h t$, who lived during the Middle Kingdom, was recovered from Deir El-Bersha in Middle Egypt, and it is preserved now in the Egyptian Museum under the number CCG 4740. The chest of Canopic Jars was previously published by Reisner (1967) who only copied the texts, and Lüscher (1990), who gave a brief description of the chest. This study includes a detailed description, in contrast to the previous works, of the genealogy, allows corrections for the copied version text of Reisner (1967), and includes commentary of the quoted texts from the Pyramid text and Coffin text.

## II. The Description of the Chest of Canopic Jars

Number: CCG $4740^{1}$.
Discovery: American Excavation in Deir El-Bersha on 6 May 1915.
Date: $11^{\text {th }}$ Dynasty.
Reisner only illustrated the texts (in which I found a few signs that he miscopied, so it was misleading in some parts) and gave a brief description of the whole chest.

Lüscher mentioned the chest and gave a brief description and a few suggestions of the different deities represented in the interior of the chest. She also attributed the text on the chest to the Pyramid Text and Coffin Text.

Material and form: The chest is wooden and almost cubical in shape, with two cleats on its bottom running from front to back. The lower part is divided from the inside into four compartments; the lid has no inscriptions or colors [Figure 1].

[Figure 1]: The lid of the chest CCG 4740
Courtesy of the Egyptian Museum © Ahmed Amin
Measurements: 60.5 cm high, 55.5 cm long and 57.5 cm wide.
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Coloring: The exterior has vertical edges overlaid with gold. Yellow bands with a blue border containing one horizontal line of inscription are around the upper part. The ground color was originally yellow.

On each side of the interior is one horizontal band at the top and one vertical band on both sides. Inside the space, enclosed by these bands, there is a different number of vertical lines of inscription. The ground color inside is brownish yellow.

Owner: A lady named Dhwty-nht. This name was very common during the era and providence; this name mostly refers to a male character ${ }^{2}$, although here it refers to a feminine character ${ }^{3}$.

## III.Inscriptions of the Exterior Texts (A, B, C, D)

The exterior of the chest is divided into four compartments; each has one horizontal line of hieroglyphic text that includes one of Horus' four sons, as follows:

## 1. Southern Side (A) [Figure 2]

The hieroglyphs are read from right to left. The text includes the name of one of Horus' four sons, as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ㄷ․․․ } 12 \text { 윰 } \\
& \underline{d} d m d w \text { in ('I)mstí iw(.i) mn sp-sn hakJ dt pw mn mí-r}{ }^{〔} \underline{d} t
\end{aligned}
$$

«Word spoken by Imesti4: I am enduring, I am enduring ${ }^{(A)}$, it is the Lord of eternity ${ }^{(B)}$, endure as Re forever».

[FIGURE 2]: The Southern side of the Exterior of the chest CCG 4740
Courtesy of the Egyptian Museum © Ahmed Amin

## 2. Northern Side (B) [Figure 3]

The hieroglyphs are read from left to right. The text includes the name of one of Horus' four sons, as follows:

[^1]
$\underline{d} d m d w$ in ḥ＾py iw（．i）mn sp－sn ḥk〕 $\underline{d} t$ pw mn mí－r ${ }^{〔} \underline{d} t$
«Word spoken by Hapi：${ }^{5}$ I am enduring，I am enduring，it is the Lord of eternity，endure as Re forever»．

［FIGURE 3］：The northern side of the Exterior of the chest CCG 4740 Courtesy of the Egyptian Museum © Ahmed Amin

## 3．Eastern Side（C）［Figure 4］

The hieroglyphs are read from left to right．The text includes the name of one of Horus＇four sons，as follows：

$\underline{d} d m d w$ in $d w \jmath-m w t . f$ iw（．i）mn sp－sn hak $\underline{d} t$ pw mn mi－r $r^{〔} \underline{d} t$
«Word spoken by Duamutef ${ }^{6}$ ：I am enduring，I am enduring，it is the Lord of eternity， endure as Re forever»．

## 4．Western Side（D）［Figure 5］

The hieroglyphs are read from right to left．The text includes the name of one of Horus＇four sons，as follows：

 «Word spoken by Qebehsenwef ${ }^{7}$ ：I am enduring，I am enduring，it is the Lord of eternity， endure as Reforever»．
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## 5. Commentary on the Outside

(A) $h k^{3} \underline{d} t$ is used as an epithet for many gods, Osiris, Anubis, and Horus ${ }^{8}$.
(B) $\hat{w} w . f m n t n h ̣ h \underline{d} t^{9}$.

## IV.The Interior [E, F, G \& H]

The interior is also divided into four compartments, each of which contains cursive hieroglyphic vertical lines [FIGURE 6], as:

## 1. Southern Side (E) [Figure 7]

This side is divided into two parts. There is vertical text in the upper part; below that, there are two figures of deities. The name of the deity is written next to them; on the
right, Imsti is represented by a falcon head facing his name, shown as:
 is represented as protected by the goddess Isis, and Camacho mentioned that the pairing of Isis with Imsti is constant ${ }^{10}$. He is protected here by a standing female goddess behind him facing her name that could be read as «Neith» ${ }^{11}$ : $\overbrace{0}^{\sim}$

These two parts are framed above and on both sides by a colored band in the following order (from the bottom of the left band to the end of the right band): red, green, yellow and blue [Figure 8].

This side consists of 18 vertical lines [FIGURE 9], each of which starts with the $\underline{d} d$ $m d w$ formula. The first 7 lines are quoted from CT 520 (B19C) ${ }^{12}$, with so few differentiations, the text continues in PT 148a-150c, although in the rest of this side text, the 11 lines are quoted from PT 215-216 ${ }^{13}$ as follows:

(1) Weir Dhwty-nht tn (ink) Imstỉ dd.n ni (2) it.i Hr my ms kew) ir it.i (3) Weir Dhẹwty-nht tn m rn.k n'Imsti iw.n.k (4) s sk.k it.í Weir Dhwty-nht tn ǐb.n.k (5) Dhwty-nht tn ‘n.s hr .f wnn.k m $k 3 . f \underline{D}$ hwty-nht tn (6) tot 3 . $\{f\}$ (I) msw Hr iw.n.f W sir Dhwty-nht tn (7) ms kw hr.fm $r n\{. f\}(. k) n$ imsti

[^3]«(1) O Wsir Djehotynakht, (I am) Imsti ${ }^{(A)}$, my father Horus said to me: (2) come, betake yourself ${ }^{(B)}$ to my father (3) this Wsir Djehotynakht, in your name of Imsti. You came (4) you may gather my father this Wsir Djehotynakht together, unite this Wsir Djehotynakht (5) Smoothen(?) him ${ }^{(\mathrm{C})}$, and you will be the $k 3$ of this Djehotynakht, (6) you are my son ${ }^{(\mathrm{D})}$, child of Horus. You came ${ }^{(\mathrm{E})}$ to Wsir Djehotynakht, (7) betake yourself beneath him, in your name ${ }^{(\mathrm{F})}$ of Imsti».

## A. Commentary:

(A) The parallel in CT 520 (B2C) $)^{14}$ is read as ink Imstí, so I added ink between two brackets here to make it readable.
(B) In this sentence, my and $m s$ are both imperatives, followed by $k w$. This is the old Egyptian enclitic pronoun ${ }^{15}$ for second person perspective: sing., masc. referring to imsti.
(C) This passage is confusing. Through its variants, it appeared as 'n.k hr.f, and only the first pronoun changed, and the change is not only concerning the gender from masc. to fem. but also from second to third person.
(D) The $\{f\}$ is superfluous; thus, it should be read, as Faulkner ${ }^{16}$ suggested, $s 3 . i$ instead of s3.f.
(E) Faulkner ${ }^{17}$ suggested it should be read as iw.n. $k$ instead of iw.n.f.
(F) Faulkner ${ }^{18}$ suggested it should be read as $r n . k$ instead of $r n . f$.

(8) tp.t. m Her-dw3t i.hm-sk mhnt.t mhnt $n$ irty (9) i.hm-sk msdrwy.t. $\mathrm{s} 3 \mathrm{t} y \mathrm{tm}$ i.hm-sk irty.t (10) s3ty tm i.hm-sk fnd.t tm i.hm-sk (11) ndhwt.t spdw i.hm-sk `w.k h‘py i.hm(-sk) (12) dbh(.k) pry.k r pt prr(.k)
 i.hm-sk n sk.kn sk k3.k (16) twt $k 3$

[^4]«(8) Your head is that of Horus of the Duat, O Imperishable One; ${ }^{(\mathrm{A})}$ your brow is the Mekhentienirti (one with the two eyes) ${ }^{19}$ (9) O Imperishable One, your ears are (those of) the two daughters of Atum; O Imperishable One, your eyes are (10) (those of) the two daughters of Atum, O Imperishable One, (11) your nose is (that of) Atum ${ }^{(B)}, \mathrm{O}$ Imperishable One, your teeth are (those of) Sopdu ${ }^{(\mathrm{C})}$, O Imperishable One, your arms are (those of) Hapy ${ }^{(\mathrm{D})}$, O Imperishable One, (12) when you ask to ascend to heaven of your ascending ${ }^{(\mathrm{E})}$, your legs are (those of) Imsti (13) and Qebehsenuf, and this Djehotynakht descend ${ }^{(\mathrm{F})}$, (14) when you ask to descend to the lower heaven of your descending. (15) Your parts are (those of) the two daughters of Atum, O Imperishable One. You did not pass away; your ka does not pass away. (16) For you are a $\mathrm{ka}^{(\mathrm{G})}$ ».

## B. Commentary

(A) i.hm-sk is shown several times in the PT. ${ }^{20}$
(B) The god here appeared as Atum in contrast to the other variants in PT $215^{21}$ and CT VIII ${ }^{22}$, where the jackal is shown, and two other variants in CT VIII $s p d w^{23}$ as $\| \approx$ 路 in B10Ca and B10C ${ }^{\text {c. }}$
(C) It is notable that the different writing of the god's name $s p d w$ as $\Delta=$ instead of the usual 1 是 shown in PT $215{ }^{24}$.
(D) In this passage, the deceased's arms are of Hapy only, which are not shown in the other variants in PT $215^{25}$ and CT VIII ${ }^{26}$, where they are always of Hapy and Duamutef. In three of them Qebehsenuf follows both. In addition to the word fell which could be considered as i.hm-sk.
(E) In this passage, I added.$k$ after the verb $d b h$ between two brackets, as the parallels to PT $2155^{27}$ and CT VIII variants ${ }^{28}$.
(F) This part of the passage is not shown in the same pattern in PT $215^{29}$ (149b), although it is found in two other variants in CT VIII (Ab1Lea; L-A1) ${ }^{30}$ followed by the suffix.k.
(G) In this passage, $t w t k 3$ is shown several times in $\mathrm{PT}^{31}$ to link the mentioned $k 3$ with Horus.
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（16）iw．n．f har Nbt－hwt iw．n．f hr．t msktt（17）iw．n．f hr．t m‘ndt iw．n．f hr．t．mз hry－tryt（18）iw．n．f hr．t． msh3t k3w sh3t sw Dhwty－nht
«（16）He has come to Nephtys．${ }^{\left({ }^{(4)}\right.}$ He has come to you in the Evening Barge．（17）He has come to you in the morning Barge．${ }^{(B)}$ He has come to you，true she is over the blood．${ }^{32}$（18）

He has come to you，she－who－delights－the－kas．${ }^{(C)}$ Remember him，${ }^{(D)}$ Djehotynakht．»

## C．Commentary

（A）This passage is shown here as the variants in CT VIII ${ }^{33}$ with a third person pronoun in $i w . n . f$ form instead of $i w . n . i$ ，which is shown in PT 216；${ }^{34}$ also it should be noted that $h r$ here is followed directly by Nbt－hwt，although in all the other variants it is followed by the suffix.$t$ or.$t$ ．
（B）msktt was considered an evening boat until the Late Period，${ }^{35}$ when it changed into a morning boat；${ }^{36}$ however，Wilson ${ }^{37}$ mentioned an earlier example from the tomb of Khaemhat（TT 57）．m$m^{〔} \underline{d} t$ was considered a morning boat ${ }^{38}$ until the Greco－Roman period when it would be used as an evening boat．${ }^{39}$ The verb used here and in the other variants in PT $216{ }^{40}$ and CT VIII ${ }^{41}$ is $i w$ ，although there are other verbs that normally precede the boats；$h d$ before $m\left\ulcorner n d t\right.$ and $h n t i$ before $m s k t t^{42}$ ．
（C）I preferred to read it as Leitz ${ }^{43}$ although it was written as 橉运 would be read as mshnt－k3w．
（D）$s w$ in this passage refers to the deceased as the god Osiris，so the masc．pronoun was used．

## 2．Northern Side（F）［Figure 10］

This side is divided into two parts：vertical text in the upper part，and beneath that are two deities－in front of each，their name is inscribed．

[^6]On the right, Hapy is represented with a falcon head; facing his name is: $P$. Ideally, Hapy is represented protected by Goddess Nephthys, and Camacho mentioned that the pairing of Nephthys with Hapy is constant. ${ }^{44} \mathrm{He}$ is protected here by a female goddess standing behind him; facing her name is what could be read as «Menkeret (?)» as登: the goddess mnkrt did not appear before the New Kingdom period when mnkrt is meant to place Hathor ${ }^{46}$. Leitz also mentioned another goddess named mkrt, first attested during the Greco-Roman period, when $m k r t$ is meant to place Neith. ${ }^{47}$ These two parts are framed above and atboth sides with a colored band in the order: green, red, blue, and yellow starting from the bottom of the left band and ending at the end of the right band

## [Figure 11].

This side consists of 20 vertical lines [FIGURE 12], each of which starts with the $d d$ $m d w$ in formula. The first 7 lines are quoted from CT 521 (B19C) ${ }^{48}$, with so rarely changes, although in the rest of this side text the 13 lines are quoted from PT $215^{49}$ as:



 pn (7) twt it.i Wsir Dhawty-nht tn
« (1) O Wsir Djehotynakht, I am Hapy, I came to you, my father Wsir Djehotynakht. (2) My father, Horus said to me: run after my father, Wsir Djehotynakht ${ }^{(A)}$. (3) You open his mouth. I am Hapy, (my) father Horus said to me: you are (4) a great runner, come! ${ }^{(B)}$ you may join up my father, Wsir Djehotynakht (5) not ${ }^{(\mathrm{C})}$ be far in your name of Hapy, you are the greatest (6) of children - so says Horus, you have come, O Hapy, you the great (7). You are my father, Wsir Djehotynakht».

[^7]
## A. Commentary

(A) Faulkner ${ }^{50}$ translated this passage as "you are the great runner."
(B) There are three other variants in which the imperative $m y$ was not used (B2C; B18C; B11C) ${ }^{51}$.
(C) There is a.k shown in the other variants after the negative verb im.

(8) nn ntr sb3 iwty rmnwti.f ink rmnwti.k m33 wi m3.i (9) n.k irw mswt itw.sn i.rhw r3.sn (10) i.hmw-
 msw n.k pf íwr n.k pn ms.k Hr n Wsir (13) m rn.kn nwrw n.f pt n sd3w n.fts n il pn nn nkn pn (14)

 im.f (18) Hr n shm.n.k im.f $n$ shm n.k im.f it.k im.f (19) n kw Dhwty-nht tn ntr pw dd.n sity tm tn kw (20) in sn $m$ rn.k $n$ ntr hpr.k tm $\{t\}$ m ntrr nb
«(8) There is no god, who has become a star, without ${ }^{(A)}$ a companion. I am your companion ${ }^{(B)}$. Look at me! (C) You have seen (9) the forms of the children of their fathers, who know their spell, (10) and your Imperishable Stars. ${ }^{(D)}$ May you see who is in the two inhabitants ${ }^{(\mathrm{E})}$ of the Palace: ${ }^{(\mathrm{F})}$ this is Horus and Seth! (11) May you bespit the face of Horus
for him ${ }^{(\mathrm{G})}$ and remove his injury ${ }^{(\mathrm{H})}$ ! May you catch the testicles of Seth for him ${ }^{(\mathrm{I})}$ and remove his mutilation! (12) That one is born for you, this one is conceived for you ${ }^{(0)}$. You are born, O Horus of Wsir, (13) as the one whose name is He-before-whom-the-skyshakes ${ }^{52}$; and He-before-whom-the-earth-quakes ${ }^{(\mathrm{K})}$. Such a one has no mutilation, (14) such a one has no injury ${ }^{(\mathrm{L})}$. Such one has no mutilation, such one has no injury. You

[^8]conceived Seth to Geb ${ }^{(\mathrm{M})}$, you have become more glorious than he. There is no seed of a god, which belongs to him (15), goes to perish ${ }^{(N)}$ for him, so you who belong to him will not go to perish. Re-Atum does not give you ${ }^{(0)}$ to Horus ${ }^{(P)}$, he will not claim your mind, he will not have control ${ }^{(Q)}$ of your heart ${ }^{(\mathbb{R})}$. (16) Re-Atum does not give you to Horus, he will not claim your mind, he will not have control of your heart. (17) Osiris, you cannot have power over him, your son cannot have power over him. (18) Horus, you cannot have power over him, your father cannot have power over him ${ }^{(5)}$. (19) You belong, O this Djehotynakht ${ }^{(\mathrm{T})}$, to this god, as the two daughters of Atum ${ }^{(\mathrm{U})}$ said: (20) Lift yourself up ${ }^{(\mathrm{V})}$, so said they, in your name of God, ${ }^{(W)}$ and so you have become Atum for every god. ${ }^{(\mathrm{X})_{\text {» }}}$

## B. Commentary:

(A) In this passage, iwty rmnwt.f was written differently as $4800_{0}^{0} 0$ in PT 215 5 .
(B) It was written as $\widetilde{0}$ in PT $215^{54}$.
(C) The pronoun appeared here in its full form, contrary to that in its variants in PT $215^{55}$ in which it appeared just as and 8
(D) Faulkner translated it as: "who know their spell, who are now Imperishable Stars", while I prefer the translation "who know their spell, and your Imperishable Stars" where i.rhw is a plural active participle, followed by two objects: rA.sn and i.hmw-sk.k, although the other variants in PT $215^{56}$ only show it as i.hmw-sk and in CT VIII, ${ }^{57}$ only 3 examples as i.hmw-sk.k.
(E) There is a remarkable writing in which the sign $\uparrow$ replaced $\mathbb{F}^{\prime}$; that appeared only in 1 variant in CT VIII (B4B0) ${ }^{58}$ in the same passage.
(F) One should note in this passage, the various writings which in PT $215^{59}$ is shown as
 variants in CT VIII ${ }^{60} 11$ times (B4B0; B4C; B6C; B10CA; ${ }^{\text {a }}$ B10CA;c BH3C; M2C; Sq9C; T8C; T1NY; TT319) and in PT 3 times (L-A1; T1L; TT240); $\{$ in 1 example (B6B0);
 (M1C); in 1 example (Ab1Le; ab1Le), ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ without determinatives in (B10C) ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$.
(G) The $n . f$ didn't appear in the variants of PT $215^{61}$ but appeared in other variants in CT VIII ${ }^{62}$.

[^9]（H）$n k n$ as a verb means «to damage»，${ }^{63}$ although when followed by $d r$ ，it is translated as ＂Böses anwenden ${ }^{64}$ ．＂
（I）The dative $n . f$ didn＇t appear in PT $215^{65}$ but appeared in other variants in CT VIII ${ }^{66}$ ．The name of the god sth was written in the chest in an unusual way，${ }^{67}$ as $\downarrow$ 硧 instead of the normal writing in PT $215^{68}$ he ．The other variants in CT VIII includes both ways of writing．
（J）It is remarkable to notice the usage of the two verbs $m s$ and $i w r$ followed by $p f$ and $p n$ in order．It is possible that pf refers to $S t h$ and $p n$ refers to $H r$ ，depending on the different meanings of both，that they are demonstrative pronouns，$p f$ for the far，and $p n$ for the near．${ }^{69}$ In the same passage，there are two different verbs that mean pregnancy and birth：iwr from the father，${ }^{70}$ and $m s$ from the mother ${ }^{71}$ ．
（K）This passage is in a different order；that the first part ms．k Hr nWsir could be from PT $215^{72}$ instead of PT $215^{73}$ ．The PT variant differs in the order as ms．k $\mathrm{Hr} m$ rn．f nwrw n．f $t 3$ sd $3 w$ n．f pt．Also，wrw was written differently in PT 215 ${ }^{74}$ ；as and there is a very rare witting $\propto$ that appeared only in CT VIII（M2C）${ }^{75}$ ．
（L）$n k n$ appeared here with the determinative $\int$ ；however，in PT $215^{76}$ it only appeared as三
（M）In this passage，only the sign variants in PT $215^{77}$ which can be shown as also in CT VIII；${ }^{78}$ it came in the same writing in all the variants，except B4B0 in which the verb ${ }^{4}$ 粦 was used，so I used the verb $i w r$ ，although in the previous passage Chest 12 （PT 215）${ }^{79}$ msw n．k pf iwr n．k pn I assumed that $m s w$ came with Sth and iwr came with $H r$ depending on the differentiation of usages of $p n$ and $p f$ ；that $p n$ refers to Hr and pf refers to Sth ，so it might be better to use the verb $m s$ as in（B4B0）．

[^10](N) This passage as shown here should be read as nn mtwt ntr sit n.f $n$ si.k n.f although the other variants in CT VIII ${ }^{80}$ show slightly different writing that should be read as $n n$ $m t w t ~ n t r r$ sit $n$ iu.f $n$ si.k ni.f. Concerning this reading, Shmacov ${ }^{81}$ cited that the spelling i.f is a defective spelling of $i i . f$ as read in PT $215 .{ }^{82} \mathrm{He}$ also mentioned that the suffix.$f$ refers to Sth.
(O) The pronoun $t w$ here and in all the variants in CT VIII ${ }^{83}$ differ from that shown in PT $215^{84}$ as $k w$.
(P) In this passage, it is remarkable that it shows here $H r$ instead of $W$ sir shown in the other variants in CT VIII ${ }^{85}$ and PT $215^{86}$.
(Q) The verb shm is written here as variants in PT $215^{87}$ and CT VIII ${ }^{88}$.
(R) There is a remarkable writing of the noun $h 3 t$ in which the sign 8 replaced , in 8 variants in CT VIII (B10C; ${ }^{\text {B B10C; }}$ b M1C; M2C; M5C; T1L; TT319) ${ }^{89}$.
(S) It is remarkable that in this passage $n$ shm n.k im.f it.k im.f, there are two im.f that precede and follow the noun it.k; however, all other variants in PT $215^{90}$ and CT VIII ${ }^{91}$ only show one.
(T) In this passage, the name of the owner of the chest appears instead of $m n^{92}$ as PT 215.93
(U) Allen translated it as «Atum's twins», although Shmakov ${ }^{94}$ read it as s3 s3t tm, as «son and daughter of Atum»; in this passage, however, it is shown here and in most variants in CT VIII ${ }^{95}$ with the same duplicate determinative which refers to women, indicating that they are two daughters, not a son and a daughter.
$(\mathrm{V})$ The exact origin of the imperative of verb is not clear in this passage, and in its other variant in PT 215.96 Considering Shmacov's reading for this imperative as $t n^{97}$; however, the variants in CT VIII ${ }^{98}$ show the possibility of the verb tni $\sim$ mmm

[^11](W) This part of the passage, $m$ rn.kn ntr , is shown many times in the same pattern ${ }^{100}$ in $\mathrm{PT}^{101}$.
(X) In this passage Atum's name is written as in which the phonetic complement $t$ is normally shown after $m$ in a way that differs from most other variants in the same utterance PT $215{ }^{102}$ and CT VIII. ${ }^{103}$ It should be noted also that in TT319 from the same utterance, the noun was written as $\int$ ? so it could be another writing of the same noun, unless it is meant to be read as $t m . t / \underline{t}$ as «your Atum»!

## 3. Eastern Side (G) [Figure 13]

This side is divided into two parts. In the upper part there is a vertical text while beneath that there are two divine figures. Facing right in front of each of these deities is the name of the deity; on the right Duamutef is represented by a falcon head facing his name: $\xrightarrow{\text { ? }}$ written as: ${ }^{104}$. Ideally Duamutef is shown protected by the Goddess Neith; however, Ikram and Dodson ${ }^{105}$ noted that Sendjet and Renenutet occasionally replaced Neith and Selket. Although, Leitz cited that the goddess snd $t^{106}$ did not appear before the GraecoRoman period; he also mentioned another goddess named sndt, who was first represented during the New Kingdom. ${ }^{107}$ These two parts are framed above and at both sides by a colored band in the order: blue, yellow, green, and red starting from the bottom of the left band and ending at the end of the right band [Figure 14].

The text here consists of 17 vertical lines [FigURE 15], each starting with the $d d-m d w$ formula. The first 8 lines are quoted from CT 522 (B19C) ${ }^{108}$, with a few changes, although in the rest of this side text the 9 lines are quoted from PT; 6 of them from PT 213 ${ }^{109}$, and the last 3 are from PT $214{ }^{110}$ as:

[^12]
（1）Wsir Dhhwty－nht tn ink dw3－mwt．f dd．n n．i it．i Hr（2）my dw3．k n．ỉ n itt．í）Wsir Dhhwty－nht（3）
 （5）twt s3．f msw Hr îw n．k har Wsir（6）Dhhwty－nht tn m rn．kndw3－mwt．f thwt s3．f mry．f（7）ink

«（1）O this Wsir Djehotynakht，I am Duamutef，${ }^{(\mathrm{A})}$ my father ${ }^{(\mathrm{B})}$ Horus said to me：（2）
Come！you worship ${ }^{(\text {C })}$ my father Wsir Djehotynakht for me，（3）as you go，you might worship ${ }^{(\mathrm{D})}$ my mother Isis in your name of Duamutef．Join up ${ }^{(\mathrm{E})}(4)$ my father and do not be far from him．（5）You are my son，child of Horus．You have come beneath this Wsir（6）

Djehotynakht in your name of Duamutef；you are his son and his beloved．（7）I am Duamutef，my father Horus said to me：come to this Wsir（8）Djehotynakht revered／honored by the great god，the lord of the sky．${ }^{(\mathrm{F})>}$

## A．Commentary

（A）In this passage there is a unique writing of Duamutef＇s name with the sign $\begin{aligned} & \text { 合 instead }\end{aligned}$ of $\star$ ，and which is still read as $d w$ ．This writing is clear in another variant in the same spell（B5B0）${ }^{111}$ ．
（B）In this passage，referring Duamutef＇s father as Horus isn＇t shown in the other variants ${ }^{112}$ ．
（C）In this passage，the verb $d w 3$ is written in a unique way as $\frac{\mathscr{H} h}{}$ ，and it is followed by n．in it．i wsir，although the other variants in the same spell ${ }^{113}$ only show $d w 3 . k n$ wsir．
（D）The verb $d w^{3}$ here is written in the same unusual way as earlier in the same spell ${ }^{114}$ as命。
（E）In this passage，the verb $i^{\circ} b$ was written in a different order as $\quad 4 \rrbracket$ instead of $4 \rrbracket$ ．
（F）This passage isn＇t shown in the other variants in the same spell ${ }^{115}$ ．

[^13]

 $\mathrm{tm} \mathrm{s} 3 . \mathrm{km} \mathrm{tm}$ pḥwy.k $\mathrm{m} \mathrm{tm} \mathrm{rdwy.km} \mathrm{tm}$ (14) ḥr.k $m \mathrm{tm}$ phr n.k i3wt H H Sth
« (9) O Wsir Djehotynakht, you have not gone dead, ${ }^{(A)}$ you have gone alive (so) (10) may you sit on the throne ${ }^{(B)}$ of Osiris? The scepter ${ }^{(C)}$ is in your hand so that you may give your orders to the living, (11) the handle of your lotus-shaped scepter is in your hand. Give commands to the ones hidden of place ${ }^{(\mathrm{D})}$ / those of the Mysterious Sites (the dead)! (12)
Your head is that of Atum. ${ }^{(\mathrm{E})}$ Your arm is that of Atum, your shoulders are those of Atum, your belly is that of (13) Atum, your backs ${ }^{(\mathrm{F})}$ are that of Atum, your bottom ${ }^{(\mathrm{G})}$ is that of Atum, your two legs are those of Atum, (14) your face is that of Atum. Go around the mounds ${ }^{116}$ of Horus serve you, go around the mounds of Seth ${ }^{(\mathrm{H})}$. »

## B. Commentary

(A) In this passage, mwt is written as in most other variants in CT VIII as ; however, it was written differently, as in PT $213^{117}$.
(B) In this passage, the throne of Wir was written as (S5C), ${ }^{118}$ it is shown with an unusual determinative $\int$.
(C) In this passage, $\subset b$ s was written with the sign ${ }^{\text {P}}$ followed by the suffix-pronoun.$k$ in PT 213. ${ }^{119}$ But here it is shown without a suffix and, thus, I translated it as «the scepter» instead of adding (. $k$ ) after the noun ' $b$ ' to match the other variants.
(D) This passage with the meaning is shown again in $\mathrm{PT}^{120}$ and read as $w \underline{d} \cdot k m d w n s t \zeta w$ iswt with the suffix-pronoun.$k$ after the verb $w d$.
(E) This passage did not occur in this spell, although it could be found as a concept, but with a different god's name, in PT $215{ }^{121}$.
(F) In this passage, it reads only as $p h \rightarrow$ in PT 213, ${ }^{122}$ but here and in other variants in CT VIII, ${ }^{123}$ it gives the meaning of his backs or his ends, ${ }^{124}$ and read as phwy.

[^14]（G）All the other variants of this passage，in PT $213{ }^{125}$ and in CT VIII，${ }^{126}$ show the name of Inpw，instead of tm as shown here．
（H）This passage is written substantially，its variants in PT $213{ }^{127}$ are written with more details as phr n．k i3wt Her phrr n．k i3wt Sth．

（14）h3 Dhhwty－nht（15）s3 kw š 3 ỉ wpwt $R^{〔}$ ir．k ii wpwt（16）it．k ir．k i．si m－ht re w w．b．k ir．k ksw．k m （17）bikwt ntrwt imit pt wn．k r－gs ntrr ${ }^{〔}$
«（14）O Wsir Djehotynakht，（15）beware ${ }^{(A)}$ of the Lake，beware of the Lake，beware of the
Lake！${ }^{(B)}$ The messengers of Re have come to you，the messengers of（16）your father have come to you．${ }^{(C)}$ Go after the sun！${ }^{(\mathrm{D})}$ You are to purify yourself．Your bones are as（17） those of female hawks，the goddesses who are in heaven，so that you may be by the side of the great god．${ }^{(\mathrm{E})}$ »

## C．Commentary

（A）The verb $s 3$ was written with a very rare sign：$\}$ instead of 888.
（B）This passage came in all variants in PT $214^{128}$ as $s 3 k w \check{s} d d-m d w s p 4$ ，repeated 4 times， although here it is only repeated 3 times．This same meaning appeared in other spells（129）in PT（130）but in different grammatical form as $s 3 t w s w r$ ，in which $s 3$ seems to be an imperative that is followed by an object $t w$ ．
（C）This passage is shown here in a different order than PT $214^{131}$ as $i l$ wpwt $k 3$ ．k ir．k ii wpwt it．k ir．k il wpwt r3 ir．k．
（D）The noun ra is shown here without a suffix－pronoun unlike the other variants in PT $214{ }^{132}$ and CT VIII ${ }^{133}$ in which it is shown as $r^{\complement} . k / r^{\complement} . t / r^{\complement} . f$.
（E）ntr in this passage is followed by ${ }^{〔} 3$ ，as in other variants in CTVIII（B4B0；B6C；B1P； M2C）；${ }^{134}$ however，the variants in PT $214{ }^{135}$ does not show this epithet ${ }^{136}$ ．

```
123 AlLEN 2006: 54-55.
124 Wb 1982: vol.1, 535, 14; FAULKNER 1972: }92
125 SetHe 1908: 135C; AlLEN 2013:135C.
126 AlLEN 2006: 56-66.
127 SETHE 1908: 135C; AlLEN 2013: 135C.
128 Sethe 1908: 136A; AlLEN 2013: 136A.
129 HAYS 2012:498.
\mp@subsup{}{}{130}\mathrm{ SETHE 1908: 872D, 885, 1752C; ALLEN 2013: 872D, 885, 1752C.}
131 SETHE 1908: 136B; ALLEN 2013: 136B.
132 SETHE 1908: 137A; ALLEN 2013: 137A.
133 ALLEN 2006: 62-63.
134 ALLEN 2006: 64-65.
135 SETHE 1908: 137C; AlLEN 2013: 137C.
```


## 4. Western Side (H) [Figure 16]

This side is divided into two parts: a vertical text in the upper part, and beneath that are two figures of deities both facing right; in front of each of them is the name of the deity. On the right, Qebehsenuf is represented by a standing figure with a falcon head without his name showing. Ideally, Qebehsenuf is depicted as being protected by the goddess Serket. ${ }^{137}$ However, he is protected here by a female goddess standing behind
him; facing her is the name «Renenutet» which is written as: $\mathcal{O S}{ }^{138}$. Framing these two parts from above and on both sides is a colored band in the order: green, red, blue, and yellow starting from the bottom of the left band and ending at the end of the right band [FIGURE 17].

This side consists of 15 vertical lines [FIGURE 18], each starting with the $d d-m d w$ formula. The first 8 lines are quoted from the CT 523 (B19C), ${ }^{139}$ with only a few changes, although in the rest of this side text, the 7 lines are quoted from the PT $217^{140}$ as:

(1) Wsir ink Ḳbḥ-snw.fdd.n n.ỉ it.i $H r$ (2) my skbb.n.k itt.i) Dhhwty-nht tn (3) ms kw hr.k m rn.kn Kbḥ-snw.f iw.n.k (4) skbbb.k n.f m-ht.fn.k sw ț.n.n.\{n.\}k (5) sw r ksw.f Wsir Dhhwty-nht tn im.k (6) bỉw ir.f h3 kbh.snw.f my ms kw (7) hr.f kbh.n.k sw twt it m rn.k (8) n Ḳbh-snw.f iw.k m-s3 Dhhwtynht tn
« (1) O Wsir, I am Qebhsenuf, my father Horus said to me: (2) Come! You refreshed this (my) father ${ }^{(\mathrm{A})}$ Djehotynakht, (3) betake ${ }^{(\mathrm{B})}$ yourself to him ${ }^{(\mathrm{C})}$ in your name of Qebhsenuf.»
You have come, (4) so you may cool for him after you, he is yours, put him together knit $^{(\mathbb{D})}$ him up as (5) regarding his bones. O this Wsir Djehotynakht (6) do not be far from

[^15]him. O Qebehsenuf, come! Betake yourself (7) beneath him and refresh him, you are the father in your name (8) of Qebehsenuf, you are in the protection of this Djehotynakht.»

## A. Commentary

(A) Like the other variants, this passage does not show a suffix-pronoun, although I preferred adding the first-person suffix-pronoun between two brackets to match the other texts related to the sons of Horus.
(B) In this passage, the imperative that was used in all the variants is although, in only one variant (B18C), it is shown as $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$. Both verbs are followed by the absolute pronoun $k w$ of Old Egyptian ${ }^{141}$.
(C) Faulkner ${ }^{142}$ noted that $h r . k$ shown in all the variants cannot be true, and it should be read as $h r(. f)$; however, it could be considered a reflexive pronoun, and in this case a different meaning is intended.
(D) In this passage, the verbal formula seems a little different than the other variants with the appearance of two $n$ signs, in which one of them could be superfluous.

 n.k Dhwty-nht tn 3 h i.hm-sk nb hr(T)wt (12) ist-w $3 \underline{d} 4$ iil n.k s3t.k ii n.k Dhwty-nht tn $33 s$ (13).tn hrt icb.twn n.(I) $m$ snkw wbn.tn $m$ 3ht (14) $m$ bw 3h.n.tn im Dhaty i.si haw n ntrw imntyw (15) 3hyw.sn ist ii r.f Dhwty-nht tn 3 h i.hm( $-s k)$
«(9) O this Djehotynakht, whom he wills that he live, he lives. (10) Whom he will that he die, he dies. O Re-Atum, your daughter (11) comes to you, ${ }^{(\mathrm{A})}$ this Djehotynakht comes to you, an Imperishable Spirit, Lord of the affairs of (12) the place of the four papyrus pillars. ${ }^{(B)}$ Your daughter comes to you, this Djehotynakht comes to you, that you may stride (13) over the sky, united ${ }^{143}$ in darkness, ${ }^{144}$ shining in the horizon (14) in the place where it is Akh for you. ${ }^{145}$ Thoth, hurry! Announce to the gods of the west and (15) their spirits: He comes indeed, this Djehotynakht, an Imperishable Spirit.»

[^16]
## B. Commentary

(A) This passage is shown as $R^{〔}$-tm iil $n . k s 3 t . k$ ii $n . k \underline{D}$ ḥwty-nht $t n$, however, the variants in PT $217^{146}$ and most of the variants in CT VIII only show ii n.k $N$.
(B) The word $n b h r(T) w t$ is related to the dead ${ }^{147}$; thus, the whole title could be translated as «Lord of the affairs of the place of the four papyrus pillars». ${ }^{148}$ The same pattern is shown in the same spell in other passages ${ }^{149}$. Vernus ${ }^{150}$ also cited other examples of the four papyrus pillars ${ }^{151}$.

## V. GENERAL COMMENTS ON THE WRITING( Conclusion)

The text was written in cursive hieroglyphic, and it is clear that the scribe made a few corrections during the preparation of the chest; these could be noted through the brownish color of the texts in specific spots, such as:

1) In the interior text (E.2), the last part is read as it.i. Reisner, however, copied it as $\stackrel{-\mathcal{F}}{\stackrel{-f}{4}}$, which differs completely from the other variants in the same spell in CT 520 and the corrected text on the chest.
2) The interior text (F) has 4 different corrected parts: (F.1) the upper part of the sign $K$ was corrected, (F.2) the whole word it.i was corrected, (F.4) the determinative after the adjective $w r$, and (F.13) the first part in the line that is read as $\mathrm{m} r n . k n$ is all rewritten.
3) In the interior text (G.16), the sign $\square$ was written as $A$.
4) In the interior text (H.10), the part $\neq$ was written as
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[Figure 4]: The Eastern side of the Exterior of the chest CCG 4740 Courtesy of the Egyptian Museum. © Ahmed Amin.

[FIGURE 5]: The Western side of the Exterior of the chest CCG 4740. Courtesy of the Egyptian Museum. © Ahmed Amin.

[FIGURE 6]: The interior of CCG 4740.
Courtesy of the Egyptian Museum. © Ahmed Amin.

[FIGURE 7]: The southern side of the interior CCG 4740 Courtesy of the Egyptian Museum. ©Ahmed Amin).

| Red | Green | Yellow | Blue | Red | Green |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mid$ |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{\text { ¢ }}$ |
| - |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { w }}{\text { ¢ }}$ |
| ¢ |  |  |  |  | 융 |
| $\stackrel{\mathrm{O}}{\mathrm{~m}}$ |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{\text { ¢ }}}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | ¢ |
| 彦 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\square}{\circ}$ |

[FIGURE 8]: The southern side of the interior of CCG 4740. Schematic by the researcher

[FIGURE 9]: The southern side of the interior of CCG 4740. Line drawing by REISNER 1967: 378.
The colored parts clarify the miscopied parts.

[FIGURE 10]: The northern side of the interior of CCG 4740. Courtesy of the Egyptian Museum. © Ahmed Amin

| Yellow | Green | Red | Blue | Yellow | Green |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\overline{\frac{9}{\mathrm{o}}}$ |  |  |  |  | 碪 |
| $\stackrel{\text { \% }}{\text { ¢ }}$ |  |  |  |  | $\frac{\square}{\bar{\sigma}}$ |
| - |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \frac{0}{3} \\ & \frac{0}{5} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | ¢ |
| $\frac{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\omega}}{\omega}$ |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { Q }}{\text { ¢ }}$ |
| 문 |  |  |  |  | 郧 |
|  |  |  |  |  | - |

[FIGURE 11]: The northern side of the interior of CCG 4740. Schematic by the researcher

[FIGURE 12]: The northern side of the interior of CCG 4740. Line drawing by REISNER 1967: 379. The colored parts clarify the miscopied parts.

[FIGURE 13]: The eastern side of the interior of CCG 4740.
Courtesy of the Egyptian Museum. © Ahmed Amin

| Green | Red | Blue | Yellow | Green | Red | Blue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \frac{3}{3} \\ & \hline \overline{\overline{0}} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | ¢ |
| $\stackrel{0}{\bar{m}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% |  |  |  |  |  | \% ${ }_{\circ}^{\circ}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \bar{\Phi} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{\mathrm{m}}{\bar{\sigma}}$ |
| $$ |  |  |  |  |  | ¢ |
| $\frac{0}{\bar{m}}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{\text { ¢ }}$ |

[FIGURE 14]: The eastern side of the interior of CCG 4740. Schematic by the researcher

[FIGURE 15]: The eastern side of the interior of CCG 4740. Line drawing by REISNER 1967: 380. The colored parts clarify the miscopied parts.

[FIGURE 16]: The western side of the interior of CCG 4740. Courtesy of the Egyptian Museum. © Ahmed Amin

[FIGURE 17]: The western side of the interior of CCG 4740. Schematic by the researcher

[FIGURE 18]: The western side of the interior of CCG 4740 (Line drawing by © Reisner ${ }^{152}$. The colored parts clarify the miscopied parts.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The only two references that mentioned this chest are REISNER, George Andrew: Catalogue général des antiquités Égyptiennes du musée du Caire, Nos 4001-4740 and 4977-5033, Canopics, Le Caire, Imprimerie de l'Institut français d'archéologie orientale 1967; Lüscher, Barbara: Untersuchungen zu ägyptischen Kanopenkästen: Vom Alten Reich bis zum Ende der Zweiten Zwischenzeit, Hildesheim (Gerstenberg Verlag) 1990.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ RANKE 1935: 7, 408.
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    ${ }^{4}$ LEITZ 2002a: 367-370.
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